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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

With the passage of the National School lunch Act of 1977, the Uniied States Congress idencified nutrition education as a major concern for the nation's public school. children (1). Congress found "...a need to create opportunities for children to learn about the importance of the principles of good nutrition in their daily lives and how these principles are apmlied in the school cafeteria."

Nutrition has traditionally been taught in the public schools through health or home economics courses. The school foodservice prograul has had little to do with formal education of young people in the principles of nitrition, other than providing a choice of nutritious foods for lunch and/or breakfast. Several factors affect the ability of school foodservice personnel to influence children's ating habits. In many cases there is simply not enough time for existing personnel to teach good nutrition. Even if time were allotted during the day for foodservice personncl to present a nutrition unit, studies (2-7) indicate that children rarely alter their eating patterns because of increased knowledge of nutrition. Food acceptance is the major factor influencing a child's food selection. If a child
has never seen or tasted the food given to him at lunch the predominant behavior is rejection of that food. This same behavior occurs when previously disliked foods are given to the child. Based on plate waste studies (7-11) of the Type A school lunch, there is strong evidence that children are not accepting what is given to them on their trays. This trend is accentuated as the child grows into adolescence and early adulthood.

An atteript has been made in several states to increase the participation by foodservice personnel in teaching nutrition to students. One method proposed for this increased participation is the formation of a Youth Advisory Council (YAC) in each school. These YAC groups consist of varied numbers of students, usually with a school faculty sponsor. YAC program objectives include: desire to learn about school. foodservice and nutrition and motivate students to select and consume Type A lunches. Additional YAC program activities include student participation concerning acceptability of lanch menus, and preparation and serving methods. As part of this study's hypothesis, it was assumed that as YAC menbers begin to understand the resources of the school foodservice department, their attitudes tovard participation may improve. Effective commication between food service personnel and YAC students could further contribute to acceptability of the Type A school Iunch.

## Purposes of the Study

The overall purpose of this study was to dotermine if a Youth Advisory Council could increase school-wide lunch acceptance by gaining an understanding of school foodscrvice and basic nutrition principles. One of the assumptions is that effective peer pressure can alter students' eating habits. Objectives of this study were:

1) Assess the Carter Junior High School Youth Advisory Council's knowledge of the Guide to Good Fating and Type A lunch components.
2) Determine if a nutrition learning unit changes nutrition test scores.
3) Measure changes between YAC and non-YAC participation on the acceptance of Type A school lunch food groups by junior high school students.

## Review of Literature

The food industry, education supply companies, atid educators spend millions of dollars and man hours designing successful nutrition education materials. The literature provides several cxamples of the effectiveness of one or more of these teaching methods with youth and adults (2-7, 12-16). Nunerous studies have shown that significant increases in nutrition knowledge can be realized among olementary and secondary students enrolled in nutrition oducation
classes $(4,5,7,16)$. The commonalities of these studies include active student participation during lessons, specifje behavioral objectives, meticulous lesson preparation, creative teaching methods, and varied testing procedures. Of the studies reporting successful teaching methods, aIl stressed the importance of making students aware of the variety of foods in the marketplace. Taste testing was a frequently used tool to help students experience new foods and new preparation methods. Instructors also utilized cartoons, posters, gantes, television spots, and field trips to help studeats identify foods and familiarize themselves with various food products. In addition to food identification, the nutrition units wore designed to motivate students to learn ahout food groups, the nutrient contributions of food, and the relationship of food to health. Nany studies, at this point, went one step further. Students were asked to evaluate their present dints and determine where improvement could be made. The major point being, according to Bell (4), "we can expect that nutrition education can modify dietary behavior."

There are conflicting roports as to whether or not in croasing a person's knowledge of nutrition actually causes an improvoment in their dictary habits. Aftor completion of a mutrition unit tanght fo fifth, seventh, and tenth grade levols, Head (7) observed significant increases on
cognitive tests of nutrition knowledge among all fifth grade classes and one seventh grade class. The diets for the seventh grade level significantly improved after the nutrition unit. Fifth grade students showed no significant changes in their dictary habits, as recorded by a 24 hour dietary recall. These same fifth graders, however, did significantly decrease their school lunch plate waste. The tenth grade students showed no significant gains in nutrition knowledge or food selection. This study proposed that the amount of change in nutrition knowlodge and dietary habits decreased progressively at higher grade levels.

Another study including fourth and fifth graders found that even though knowledge of nutrition facts increased significantly, the nutritive and caloric values of tho diets changed little (3). In this research, students participated in a three week unit on nutrition education. To determine dietary changes the authors obtained two 24 hour dietary recalls and a 24 hour dietary record from the children. Height, weight, skinfold thickness, and arm and leg girth were measured at the beginning and end of the study. None of these measurement techniques yielded significant changes as a result of nutrition education.

Even when dealing with very young children, Blakeway
(5) found dietary habits were difficult to change. This study, including first through third grade subjects, used
school lunch consumption as a measure of the effects of nutrition education. After a series of nutrition lessons, plato waste studies revealed an improvement in consumption of most foods tested. The data indicated that "eating patterns were more rigid and difficult to change in third. grade than in first- and second-grade children."

A study by Alford and Tibbets (2) of diabetic children, ages 6 through 17 , showed that nutrition education significantly increased vegetable consumption. In this particular study $n o$ moasurements were made to detormine if vegetable consumption varjed between age groups.

Smith (15) incorporated another factor when studying the effects of nutrition education on the "comprehension and application of nutrition knowledge by third grade students." She found that participation in the school lumb program improved only among those students whose parents were included in the nutrition education program. Vegetable consumption increased among the students who were not in the nutrition study group, but whose parents participatod in the education program.
parent participation and assistanct in helping their children learn about good nutrition habits was considered of major importance by Boysen and Ahrons (6). In this study, a small sample of second grade students took part in a project to determine the effects of a mutrition education unit.

Both a cognitive test and a school lunch plate waste survey were administered. Parents received information about the nutrjtion mit periodically. Parents also answered a questionnaire to determine if the nutrition unit had any noticcable effect on their child's eating habits. The results indicated the nutritiom education program increased nutrition knowledge and resulted in some impovement in eating habits.

Norton (14) proposed that chaneses in dictary habits may not be the oniy indicator of successful nutrition education program. Third grade children served as subjects for this mutrition education study. Changes in natrition attitucles, food frequency intake, and mutrition knowlodge were measured. The results showed jusignificant improvement for exporimontal and controlgroups. The hemoglobin values of the students did change in this study. In conclusion Norton stated: "...the nutrition status of the experimental group, as measured by hemoglobin values, improved significantly before significant improvoments occurred in written tests on nutrition attitudes, cating practices, and nutrition know1odge.

From these studies there is evidence that nutrition education may influence dietary habits. Determining appropwiate methodologies for evaluating changes in a person's diet poses a difficult problem. Fo: example, do measurements
of school lunch plate waste indicate dietary change more accuratcly than a 24 hour dietary recall? Additional methods of evaluation that should be considered include: threc day fool records, height and weight measuroment, biochemical values, and attitude scales.

Several studies use a variety of measurement techniques that seem to effect confijeting results. In one study (7) a group of students showed no significant changes in their dietary habits as measured by a 24 hour food recall. School Iunch plate waste studies demonstrated that these same students significantly decreased their food waste, indicating changes in dietary habits. From a previously cited study (14), hemoglobin values indicated changes in diet before changes appeared in nutrition attitudes or food frequency intakes. The selection of a measurement technjque, therefore, may considerably alter the results of a study. One techmique may be inappropriate for a group of subjects for sevoral reasons. Age, socioecononic background, educational background, and personality characteristics of the teacher are just a few of the vaxiables that may affect the ability of a measuring techaique to verify changes in behavior. From a practical standpoint, Head (9) views this problem of selecting an appropriate measuremont technique in two ways. "First, is an accurate measure of food consumption necessary, or js an indicator of constmption or a measure of change
adequate? Secondly, what are the comparative costs of the mothods?"

These two questions relate directly to many of the studies assessing consumption and acceptability of the Type $A$ school lurich. Plate waste studies are time, cnergy, and money consuming. As a result of comprehensive studies by Head et al. (9) anci Jansen et al. (10,11), it has been recognized that student ratings of acceptability can be highly corrclated with consumption. A study by Head et a1. (9), including elomentary and secondary students, corrolatod hedonic scale results of food acceptability with an amount consuned rating scalc. Plate waste data were obtained from randomly selected students completing both scales. The data indicated that "despite high standard deviations of predictod values, generally very high positive correlations were found between predicted (as obtaincd from the rating scales) and measured consumption." It may be possible to estimate the amount of food by using affective or discrinination ratings. This prodictive method of food consumption is much less expensive than plate waste studies, but not as accurate. A general, not specific, change in dietary habits would be indicated by this method.

The possibility that nutrition cducation may change food selection, in a measureable way, is an inportant concopt. Selecting the best method of implementing a nutrition
education program for young people is of major concern. Which teaching methods cause a change in behavior? Is it possible to identify those factors that should be incorporated when teaching a successful nutrition program?

The literature cites similarities among methods resulting in positive behavior changes. First and foremost, students must be motivated to try new foods. Food will not be liked unless it is tasted and presented without bias and prejudice (3). An explanation of the importance of various foods in the diet and their contribution to health provides a foundation for learning and maintaining new habits.

Several studies resulting in positive dietary changes used taste testing as a means of stimulating interest in nutrition. The tasting demonstration technique used by Alford and Tibbets (2) was conducted to help diabetic children learn to use low carbohydrate vegetables in their diet. The children watched the vegetables being prepared and then sampled the food product. Specific nutrients provided by each of the foods demonstrated was not discussed. Program results indicated significant levels of vegetable consumption.

Blakeway (5) concluded from her study that "a child learns to eat a variety of foods by having an opportunity to taste them." The children participating in this study tasted five food items prepared by their school cafeteria.

With one exception, prunes, the consumption of these foods increased significantly after the nutrition unit was taught.

Attitudes have a great deal to do with food acceptance. Baker (3) noted that when children were exposed to positive comments about squash, consumption of that vegetable increased. The opposite behavior also occurred. In one class, a teacher made negative remarks about squash. The consumption and acceptability ratings of that food decreased significantly among her students. After assessing the nutrition knowledge, attitudes, and dietary practices of high school graduates, Schwartz (17) discovered that attitudes significantly affected dietary practices. Dwyer et al. (13) found that among high school students there was a very low level of interest in nutrition. The results of a nutritional knowledge test revealed a very low mean score of 55.9 percent for these students. Hinton et al. (17) related eating practices of young adoiescent girls to the following factors: knowledge of nutrition, emotional stability, family relationships, and the value placed on health. After reviewing the research concerning food acceptance, Lamb (18) stated: "contacts made early in life greatly influence food acceptance and that familiarity with food seems to be the inportant factor in food selection."

Successful nutrition programs stress principles rather than memorization of facts when attempting to modify dietary
behavior (4). With so much misinformation concerning nutrition in the media today, it is important to identify misconceptions about food and establish a sound basis for evaluating nutrition information. Nutrition educators must realize that popular magazines and booklets frequently provide nutrition information that is eagerly accepted. Female high school graduates, for example, listed popular printed materials as a major source of nutrition information (17). Education must be aimed at helping consumers identify accurate nutrition information easily.

Emphasis on nutrition principles was often reflected in the behavioral objectives stated in each study. Increasing interest in nutrition, recognizing that diet affects health, and increasing consumption of high nutrient foods are objectives based on principles rather than facts. These principles will be rememoered much longer than the nutrient content of individual food items. Being able to recognize a variety of foods and willingly try new fooris were also considered as important objectives. It is interesting to note that these objectives deal not only with knowledge bit with attitudes as well. As Guthrie (19) states: "Information alone is often not enough to cause or enable a person to improve her/his eating habits and thus her/his nutritional state."

In order to be most effective different messages must be used for different audiences. A knowledge of the social, s conomic, cultural, educational, and psyzhologjcal factors affecting a given audience must be obtained prior to presenting a nutrition education program (19). Understanding these social variables increases the possibility that a program may alter eating habits for the better. Realizing the impact of television, for example, and using it to desseminate nutrition information met with success in Florida (20). This study included 400 ninth grade students. Researchers gathered information about the television viewing and radio listening times of the teenagers. A mass media campaign involving television spots, radio spots, brochures, and a radio contest was conducted for eight weeks. Results showed significant increases in nutrition knowledge among the experimental group.

This mass media campaign may not have been effective for younger children or adults. Younger children derive many of their food habits from their parents and other adults. parent education has been shown to positively affect adoption of food habits by elenentary age children $(6,15)$. Adults, on the other hand, adopt many of their food beliefs from written materials, such as popular magazines (17).

The goal of nutrition education is to change dietary habits. Research has demonstrated that nutrition education can increase knowledge as well as influence dietary behavior. There are no specific rules for implementing a successful nutrition education program. A few guidelines have been suggested that may increase the impact of nutrition education. First, concentrate on principles rather than facts when teaching nutrition; these will be more readily retained. Begin nutrition education as early as possible in a school situation. Encourage parents to become involved in nutrition programs at all grade levels, elementary and secondary. Understand the charactoristics and interests of various audiences. Use the media to help relate information about nutrition. Nutrition education programs must be highly visible to various groups within a commity. Finally, nutrition education should be flexible. What is successful with one group may not be effective with another.

## PLAN OF PROCEDURE

The major purpose of this study was to assess the influence of a Youth Advisory Council program on the acceptance of Type $A$ school lunch food groups by junior high school students. The members of the Youth Advisory Council participated in a nutrition education unit prior to planning a week of Type A lunch menus to be served throughout the school district. A nutrition knowledge pre-test and posttest were administered to the YAC members to determine if the nutrition education unit changed their test scores.

## Population

Two junior high subgroup populations were selected to participate in this study. The first subgroup involved the nine Youth Advisory Council (YAC) members of Carter Junior High in Arlington, Texas. The second subgroup included a modified randon sampling of non-YAC students at Carter Junior High and Hutcheson Junior High who consumed Type A school lunches for one week.

The Youth Advisory Council students represented the following grade levels: three each from the seventh, eighth, and ninth grade levels. The YAC members applied for council
membership and were screened by their teachers. The selected members perform academically at average or above average levels and were considered leaders among their peers. This was the first year the group functioned as a Carter Junior High school organization.

## Nutrition Education Program

At the beginning of the school year, YAC members were administered a nutrition knowledge test (Appendix A). Following the pre-assessment, the members participated in a series of lessons explaining the Type A lunch, the nutritional benefits of this lunch pattern, the Guide to Good Eating Basic Four Food Groups, and menu planning. Student and teacher lesson plans may be found in Appondix C. As part of the learning experiences, an orientation of the foodservice organization, including its resources, was provided by the school cafeteria manager, foodservice nutritionist, and Foodservice Director. The lessons extended over a three week period and were conducted before regular school hours once a week. Upon completion of the lessons, YAC members assisted the school foodservice mutritionist and school cafeteria manager in planning lunch menus for one week to be served throughout the school district (Appendix $B$ ).

Two weeks after the menus were planned, the YAC members and a set of matched controls completed a post-test on
nutrition knowledge. The matched conirols were selected by teachers at Carter Junior High and met the qualifications for YAC membership. The test questioned students about the Basic Four Food Groups and all components of the Type $A$ school lunch.

## Menu Acceptability Survey

The menus planned by the YAC were served for one week during each of three months, December, February, and March. The first or pre-test week determined the baseline acceptability of the YAC menus for both the control and experimental groups. The interim menu survey indicated the influence of YAC intervention on the acceptance of the school lunch menus. The post-test survey measured long-term effects of the YAC program on school lunch acceptance. At least four weeks lapsed between each time the YAC menus were served. Specifically, there were 33 school days between the pre-test and interim study periods. Twenty days of school lapsed botween the interim and post-test study periods.

Per each five day period, students at Carter and Hutcheson junior high schools completed menu acceptability forms (Appendix A). Each questionnaire indicated daily menus and a hedonic acceptability scale for each food. Lunch items eaten were rated according to four factors: "I ate it," "I ate it because I liked it." "I ate it because
it was good for me," and "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me." Similar hedonic scales have been employed $\therefore$ moasure acceptability of school lunch menus (13,14). In the present study an additional concept was added to the hedonic scale, "I ate it because it was good for me." This concept required an evaluation of the food's nutritional value and implied that good nutrition was a criteria for food selection.

Permission to conduct this survey was obtained from the principals of both junior high schools, after consultation with the Arlington Independent School District central arl. ministration and the Foodservice Director (Appendix $n$ ). The survey forms were given to students by their teachers in the class period immediately following lunch. Only those studonts who had eaten a Type A lunch completed the forms. Participation was voluntary. The teachers explained to the students that their cooperation would be appreciated but not required. A scheduling problem in both schools prevented the ejghth grade students from participating in the study. During the first week the students at Carter and Hutcheson schools were unaware of the YAC planned menus. The YAC members also did not know their menu was prepared that particular week.

Prior to the interim survey week, YAC members conducted a media campaign announcing that the week's Junches nad been

Selected by Carter students. Colorful posters urged students to "licave home the sack and try the meals planned by Y YC." Other posters encouraged students to eat a nutritious Type $\therefore$ lunch and reduce the amount of food wasted. The school and city newspapers included articles about the Carter YAC activities, the planned menus, and emphasis was placed on oating a Type A lunch. Daily intercom announcements by YAC members also urged students to try the Type A school lunch and complete the menu acceptability forms. Each day menu acceptability forms were distributed at both schools.

Four weeks later, students completed the final lunch survey. Students checked the acceptability forms as before, unaware the menus were planned by the YAC of Carter Junior High. The menus were identical to those rated during the previous two surveys.

Following the last lunch survey, YAC members and their matched controls took a retention test on the Guide to Good Eating Basic Four Food Groups and the Type A lunch requirements.

## Data Collection and Analysis

The iotal data collected included: menu acceptability forms from two junior high grade levels, seventh and ninth, and the written nutition test given to YAC members and their controls (Table 1). From this data the influence of a

TABLE I

RESEARCH PROTOCOL SUMAARY

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | Experimental <br> Parameters | Testing Periods |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre- } \\ & \text { test } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter- } \\ & \text { im } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post } \\ & \text { test } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sontrol Group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Hutcheson | Type A lunch menu acceptabilityc | X | X | X |
| Carter | Cognitive nutrition test | X |  | X |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { !ixperimental } \\ & \text { noup } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Carter | Type A lunch menu acceptability | X | X | X |
|  | Cognitive nutrition test | X |  | X |

${ }^{\text {a Control }}$ group's description:
Hutcheson Junjor High School 7th and 9th graders; no functioning YAC group.
Carter Junior High School-matched controls for Carter YAC groun.
${ }^{b}$ Experimental group's description:
Carter Junior High School 7 th and 9 th graders; functioning YAC group.
Monu accoptability detormined by hedonic scale categories: "I ate it," "I ate it because I liked it," "I ate it because it was good for me," "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me."
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{YAC}$ intervention occurred after the preassessment testing period.

YAC on school-wide participation in the lunch program was evaluated. Furthermore, the study examined the collected data for evidence of nutrition knowledge gained by YAC members.

The responses given on the menu acceptability forms from the experimental and control groups were organized by survey week and tabulated according to degree of acceptability. The responses from the seventh and ninth grade students were combined for this tabulation. The food items for cach day were categorized according to the Basic Four Food Groups. Combination food items, such as beef stew, were placed in a food group according to the United States Department of Agriculture school lunch requirements. For example, if beef stew was the meat served for the day it would be categorized in the meat group.

The chi-square test compared the responses given for each food group on each day surveyed. Survey data from the pre-test week was compared to the interim week data. Comparisons were made between the interim week data and the post-test week. In addition, the pre-test week was compared to the post-test week survey data. The level of significance chosen was $p \leq 0.01$.

YAC members and their set of controls at Carter Junior High completed a nutritjon test during the pre-test and post-test periods. A comparison was made between the
scores of the YAC and control students at each grade level represented. A t-test determined whether the differences in scores were significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

## CHAPTER III

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was twofold: to determine if a YAC program could alter the school lunch eating habits of junior high school peers, and to determine if nutrition education could improve cognitive knowledge of YAC members. Changes in the acceptance of the school lunch menus were assessed by a menu acceptability survey conducted of all seventh and ninth grade students eating a Type A lunch. The menu acceptability survey allowed students to rate a week of lunch items according to a four factor hedonic scale: "I ate it," "I ate it because I liked it," "I ate it because it was good for me," and "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me." The survey responses of the seventh and ninth grade students were combined before comparing the results from the control and experimental junior high schools. Responses to individual food items were categorized according to the Guide to Good Eating Basic Four Food Groups. This method provided a view of the overall food preferences of junior high school students and indicated the food groups these teenagers were more willing to accept or reject.

For the pre-test, interim, and post-test study periods, the results of the menu surveys showed that foods from the

Milk and Meat Group were well-accepted by students at both junior high schools. The responses from the three weeks of menu surveys showed that 61 to 100 percent of the students consumed the foods from the Meat Group (Table 2). Milk provided by the Type A lunch during the three week period was consumed by 81 to 96 percent of the students (Table 3).

Foods from the Bread Group were consumed by 11 to 100 percent of the students at both junior high schools during the three weeks of menu acceptability studies. When the bread was served as part of the meat entree, students consumed 67 to 100 percent of the bread provided by their school lunch. When it was served as a side dish (Tables 4-11), 11 to 98 percent of the students consumed the bread.

Responses at Carter Junior High, the experimental school, indicated that between the pre-test and interim study periods there were increases in the acceptance of hot rolls (Tables 4-7). For example, on day three the number of responses given in the category, "I ate it," increased 14 percent between the pre-test week and interim week. During this same time period, the number of responses made by students who ate the hot roll because they liked it increased 15 percent. There was a significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) increase in the acceptance of hot rolls between the pre-test and interim study periods for the category "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me" (Table 12). The experimental group

TABLE 2
EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GKOUP PESPONSES FOR THE MEAT FOOD GROUP,
BASED ON THE SUM OF ALL HEDONIC SCALE RESPONSES

| Corsecutive 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-rest |  |  |  |  |  | Interim |  |  |  |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent Student Responses |  | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent Student Responses |  | Total Student Responses \| |  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent Student Responses |  | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |  |
|  | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont |
| 1 | 203 | 377 | 37 | 94 | 232 | 401 | 148 | 294 | 91 | 87 | 162 | 336 | 131 | 232 | 73 | 96 | 179 | 242 |
| $2^{\text {a }}$ | 242 | 334 | 100 | 100 | 243 | 332 | 137 | 284 | 91 | 99 | 151 | 289 | 177 | 220 | $103^{\text {b }}$ | $105^{\text {b }}$ | 172 | 206 |
| 3 | 225 | 296 | 100 | 99 | 224 | 299 | 127 | 224 | 100 | 96 | 127 | 234 | 12.6 | 189 | 93 | $102{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 136 | 185 |
| 4 | 144 | 237 | 90 | 94 | 160 | 252 | 113 | 184 | 80 | 97 | 141 | 190 | 131 | 126 | 81. | $102{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 152 | 123 |
| 5 | 199 | 133 | 99 | 98 | 201 | 135 | 132 | 190 | 93 | 99 | 142 | 191 | 155 | $c$ | 99 | c | 156 | c |

${ }^{\text {Menu }}$ selection included both mean and pinto beans selection options. Pinto beans represented a "side-dish" menu component.
${ }^{6}$ Geater than 100 ? meat consumption represents students who consume two meat entres.
GFollow-up tests were unavailable.

TABLE 3
EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE MILK FOOD GROUP,
BASED ON THF SUM OF ALL HEDONIC SCALE RESPONSES

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey <br> jay | Pre-Test |  |  |  |  |  | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent Student Responses |  | Total Student Responses |  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent Student Responses |  | Total Student Responses |  | No. Student Responses |  | Percent <br> Student <br> Responses |  | Total Student Responses |  |
|  | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exp | Cont | Exir | Cont | Exp | Cont |
| 1 | 20: | 353 | 87 | 89 | 232 | 401 | 140 | 291 | 86 | 87 | 162 | 336 | 164 | 222 | 92 | 92 | 179 | 242 |
| 2 | 218 | 299 | 90 | 90 | 243 | 332 | 141 | 250 | 93 | 87 | 151. | 288 | 155 | 179 | 90 | 87 | 172 | 206 |
| 3 | 201 | 265 | 90 | 89 | 224 | 299 | 114 | 206 | 90 | 88 | 127 | 234 | 122 | 165 | 90 | $8!$ | 136 | 185 |
| 4 | 153 | 228 | 96 | 90 | 160 | 252 | 128 | 156 | 91 | 82 | 141 | 190 | 143 | 110 | 88 | 89 | 162 | 123 |
| 5 | 182 | 115 | 90 | 85 | 201 | 135 | 122 | 163 | 86 | 85 | 142 | 191 | 141 | a | 90 | a | 156 | a |

${ }^{a}$ Follow-up tests were unavailable

TABLE 4
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP,
BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT

| Conse- <br> cutive <br> 5-Diy <br> Survey |  |  |  | Study Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Stadent Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |
| 1 | 35 | 15 | 232 | 28 | 17 | 162 | 24 | 13 | 179 |
| 2 | 69 | 28 | 243 | 33 | 22 | 151 | 42 | 24 | 172 |
| 3 | 55 | 24 | 224 | 49 | 39 | 327 | 33 | 24 | 136 |
| 4 | 35 | 22 | 160 | 37. | 22 | 141 | 40 | 25 | 162 |
| 5 | 63 | 31 | 201 | 42 | 30 | 142 | 6.0 | 33 | 156 |

TABLE 5
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GRUUP, BASED ON THE henonic scale catecory I ate it because I liked it

| ```Conse- cutive 5-Day Survey``` | Study Pericds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-rest |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent Stuclent Pesponses | Total <br> Student <br> Resporses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Resyonses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27 | 12 | 232 | 22 | 13 | 162 | 9 | 5 | 179 |
| 2 | 131 | 54 | 243 | 74 | 49 | 151 | 96 | 56 | 172 |
| 3 | 95 | 42 | 224 | 73 | 53 | $12^{7}$ | 86 | 63 | 136 |
| 4 | 29 | 18 | 160 | 39 | 28 | 141 | 80 | 49 | 162 |
| 5 | 100 | 50 | 201 | 68 | 48 | 142 | 72 | 46 | 156 |

TABLE 6
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE
HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE IT WAS GOOD FOR ME

| ```Conse- cutive 5-Day Survey``` | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Responses | percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Student } \\ \text { il Responses } \end{gathered}$ | percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | 232 | 2 | 1 | 162 | 1 | 0 | 179 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 243 | 3 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| 3 | 6 | 3 | 224 | 3 | 2 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| i | 3 | 2 | 160 | 4 | 3 | 141 | 3 | 2 | 162 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | . 201 | 2 | 1 | 142 | 2 | 1 | 156 |

table 7
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE
HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE I LIKED IT
AND IT WAS GOOD FCR ME

| Consecutive 5-Lay Survey[Day] | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Pest-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ; | 8 | 3 | 232 | 8 | 5 | 102 | 4 | 2 | 179 |
| 2 | 40 | 16 | 243 | 27 | 18 | 151 | 39 | 23 | 172 |
| 5 | 22 | 10 | 224 | 21 | 16 | 127 | 23 | 17 | 135 |
| 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | : 60 | 19 | 13 | 141 | 20 | 12 | 162 |
| 5 | 34 | 17 | 201 | 20 | 14 | 142 | 21 | 13 | 156 |

TABLE 8
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FCR THE GREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE
HELONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT

| Conse- <br> cutive <br> 5-Day <br> Suryey | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Cost-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent <br> student <br> Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Jiudent Responses | Percent Sucudent Kesponses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 31 | 8 | 401 | 90 | 27 | 336 | 57 | 24 | 242 |
| 2 | 33 | 25 | 332 | 77 | 27 | 298 | 78 | 38 | 206 |
| 3 | 103 | 34 | 299 | 79 | 34 | 234 | 68 | 37 | 185 |
| 4 | 36 | 14 | 252 | 64 | 34 | 190 | 44 | 36 | 123 |
| 5 | 31 | 23 | 135 | 72 | 38 | 191 | a | a | a |

afollow-up data unavailable

TABLE 9
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE
hedonic scale category i ate it because I liked it

afcilow-up data unavailable

TABLE 10
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE IT WAS GOOD FOR :ME

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey. | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Studient Responses | Percent Student Responses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Student } \\ \text { Responses } \end{gathered}$ | No. <br> Student Resporises | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | percent <br> Student <br> Responses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 401 | 11 | 3 | 336 | 1 | 0 | 242 |
| 2 | § | 2 | 332 | 3 | 3 | 288 | 4 | 2 | 206 |
| 3 | 9 | 3 | 290 | 5 | 2 | 234 | 4 | 2 | 185 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 252 | 7 | 4 | 190 | 3 | 2 | 123 |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | 135 | 5 | 3 | 191 | a | a | a |

[^0]TABLE 11
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE BREAD FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE I LIKED IT

AND IT WAS GCOD FOR ME

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conse- } \\ & \text { cutive } \\ & 5 \text {-Day } \\ & \text { Survey } \end{aligned}$ | Study Perious |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Fost-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Stulent fesponses | Total Student Responses |
| 1 | 13 | 3 | 401 | 29 | 9 | 336 | 25 | 10 | 242 |
| 2 | 63 | 19 | 332 | 50 | 17 | 288 | 28 | 14 | 206 |
| 3 | 55 | 18 | 299 | 33 | 14 | 234 | 22 | 12 | 135 |
| 4 | 25 | 10 | 252 | 21 | 11 | 190 | 15 | 12 | 123 |
| 5 | 28 | 21 | 135 | 26 | 14 | 191 | a | a | a |

[^1]TABLE 12
CHI-SQUARE COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL GIOUP RESPONSES TO THE BREAD FOOD GROUP CATEGORY. served on the type a lunch for pre-test, INTERIM, AND post-TEST periods ${ }^{3}$

| Consecutive <br> 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Hedonic Scale Response Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I ate it |  |  | I ate it because I liked it |  |  | I ate it because it was good for me |  |  | I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me |  |  |
|  | A | P | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| 1 | 0.34 | 0.99 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 7.32 | 5.55 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 1.17 | 0.53 | 1.83 | 0.51 |
| 2 | 2.09 | 0.31 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 1.5 | 0.15 | 1.04 | 3.46 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 1.17 | 2.55 |
| 3 | 7.70 | 6.26 | 11.69 | 7.36 | 0.93 | 14.64 | 0.04 | 6.09 | 1.72 | $3.34{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00 | 3.89 |
| 4 | 0.62 | 0.3 | 0.30 | 3.85 | 14.95 | 35.23 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.00 | $5.45{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.1 | 4.43 |
| 5 | 0.13 | 2.61 | 2.0 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.79 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Student resnonsos for each hedonic scale category were compared by study periods.
Study period A represents changes between pre-test and interim intervals.
Study pericd ${ }^{\text {Study }}$ represents changes between interim and post-test intervals.
$b_{p} \leq 0.01$
$c_{p} \leq 0.05$
did not show statistically significant increases in the acceptance of cornbread throughout the study. The percentage of total student responses indicating cornbread intake, for the experimental group, ranged from 21 to 37 percent for the pre-test, interim, and post-test study periods. Acceptance values varied for cornbread within the four response categories. Possibly, this may be attributed to subtle cultural differences.

Acceptance of the cornbread by the control group varied within the four response categories and from the pre-test to post-test study periods. Comparing the pro-test, interim, and post-test study veriods, 22,56 , and 43 percent, respectively, of the students consumed the cornbread (Tables 8-11). Variation in cornbread recipe standardization may be a possible factor for the above noted acceptance differences.

For the five day menu period, hot rolls were served on days three and four. On day three, results from the control group responses indicated an acceptance range of 10 to 25 percent for the "I ate it because I liked it" category. A range of 5 to 8 percent was noted for the "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me" category. The percentage differences in acceptability were not significant at the $p \leq 0.01$ level.

On day four, results from the control group responses showed an acceptance range of 25 to 42 percent for the
category, "I ate it because I liked it." An acceptance range of 10 to 12 percent was noted for the category, "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me." These changes in acceptance characteristics from day three to day four for hot rolls may be due to the menu selection alternatives for each day.

Following YAC intervention the experimental group responses indicated a general increase of vegetable and fruit comsumption as noted in Tables 14-17. However, there were individual variations for specific fruit and vegetable acceptances. Specifically, when all acceptance levels were combined for the following five day menu items: peaches, spiced apples, corn, mixed fruit cup, applesauce, and fried okra, acceptance increases from pre-test to interim-test periods were $17,643,20,32$, and 10 percents, respectively. For the same time period, green beans, Italian blend vegetables, tossed salad, broccoli, spinach, and pears with cottage cheese declined $9,6,27,11,9$, and 13 percents, respectively. When comparing the responses of the pre-test and interim-test periods, the response category, "I ate it" showed statistically significant increases ( $p \leq 0.01$ ) for fruit and vegetable consumption (Table 18).

Student responses from the control group indicated a general decreasc of fruit and vegetable consumption from the pre-test to interim-study periods (Tables 19-22). When

CHI-SQUARE COMPARISON OF CONTROL GROUP RESEONSES TO THE GKEAD FOOD GROUP CATEGORY SERVED
U: THE TYPE A LUNCH FOR PRE-AEST, INTERTH, AND POST-TEST PERIODS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Hedonic Scale Response Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I ate it |  |  | I ate it because i liked i*. |  |  | I ate it because it was good for me |  |  | I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | $\hat{A}$ | B | C |
| 1 | 39.94 | 0.76 | 32.04 | 7.34 | 6.57 | 0.03 | $3.51{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.61 | 1.17 | 9.98 | 0.48 | 13.64 |
| 2 | 0.25 | 6.95 | 10.1 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 1.27 | 2.66 |
| 3 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 5.8 | 3.81 | 18.26 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 1.73 | 0.43 | 3.31 |
| 4 | 23.23 | 0.13 | 22.94 | 4.87 | 2.05 | 12.18 | 1.13 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.45 |
| 5 | 7.88 | d | d | 0.51 | d | d | 1.42 | d | d | 2.87 | d | d |

${ }^{2}$ Stident resnonses for each hedonic scale category were compared by study periods.
Study period A represents changes between pre-test and interim intervals.
Study period 3 represents changes between interim and post-test intervals.
study period C represents changes between pre-test and post-test intervals.
$b_{p}<0.01$
$c_{p} \leq 0.05$
diollow-up tests were unavailable.

TARLE 14
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP,
bASED ON the hedCNiC scale category i ate it

| Consecutive 5-D.2y Survey <br> Day | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-iest |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student fesponses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 88 | 38 | 232 | 75 | 46 | 162 | 75 | 42 | 179 |
| 2 | 61 | 25 | 243 | 34 | 22 | 151 | 25 | 14 | 172 |
| 3 | $7 ?$ | 34 | 224 | 61 | 48 | 127 | 48 | 35 | 136 |
| 7 | 4.4 | 27 | 160 | 45 | 33 | 141 | 75 | 46 | 162 |
| 5 | 78 | 39 | 201 | 48 | 34 | 142 | 64 | 41 | 156 |

TABLE 15
EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE ERUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP, BASED ON
ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE I LIKED IT

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Study Feriods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Respones | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses | $\square$ | Percent Student ?esponses | Total Student Responses |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.5 | 97 | 232 | 157 | 97 | 162 | 106 | 59 | 179 |
| 2 | 59 | 21 | 243 | 41 | 27 | 151 | 22 | 13 | 172 |
| 3 | 88 | 39 | 224 | 65 | 51 | 127 | 63 | 46 | 136 |
| 4 | 37 | 23 | 150 | 52 | 37 | 141 | 70 | 4. | 162 |
| 5 | 151 | 80 | 201 | 94 | 66 | 142 | 102 | 65 | 156 |

TABLE 16
EXP:RIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE ERUIT AND VEGETABLE GRCUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE IT WAS GOOD FOR ME

| Conse- <br> cutive <br> 5-Day <br> Survey | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pro-Test |  |  | Interam |  |  | fost-rest |  |  |
|  | N, Student nosucnses | Percent Student Responses | Total Situdent Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent. Student Responses | Total Student Responses | : 'o. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |
| 1 | 25 | 11 | 232 | 12 | 7 | 162 | 8 | 4 | 179 |
| 2 | 21 | 9 | 243 | 2 | 1 | 151 | 5 | 3 | 172 |
| 3 | 26 | 12 | 224 | 5 | 4 | 127 | 2 | 1 | 136 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 | 160 | 7 | 5 | 141 | 4 | 2 | 162 |
| 5 | 9 | 4 | 201 | 3 | 2 | 142 | 2 | 2 | 156 |

TABLE 17
ZYpGRIMENTAL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE IIEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE I LIKED IT AND IT WAS GOOD FOR ME

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Respanses | Total <br> Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses |
| 1 | 75 | 32 | 232 | 56 | 35 | 162 | 67 | 37 | 179 |
| 2 | 33 | 14 | 243 | 25 | 16 | 151 | 24 | 14 | 172 |
| 3 | 52 | 2.3 | 224 | 38 | 29 | 127 | 45 | 33 | 136 |
| 4 | 21 | 13 | 160 | 37 | 26 | 141 | 29 | 17 | 162 |
| 5 | 51 | 25 | 201 | 32 | 22 | 142 | 18 | 11 | 156 |

TABLE 18
CHI-SQUJARE COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL GROUP RESPONESE TO THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP CATEGORY SERVED ON THE TYPE A LUNCH FOR PRE-TEST, INTERIM, AND POST-TEST PERIODS ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey | Hedonic Scale Response Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I ate it |  |  | I ate it because I liked it |  |  | I ate it because it was good for me |  |  | I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| 1 | $2.75{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 68.67 | 25.62 | 1.56 | 0.23 | 6.03 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 1.19 |
| 2 | 0.34 | 3.4 | 6.94 | 2.25 | 10.47 | 4.32 | 9.04 | 1.02 | 5.68 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 0.01 |
| 3 | $6.38{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.43 | 0.03 | 4.62 | 0.63 | 1.69 | 5.82 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 1.89 | 0.31 | 4.24 |
| 4 | 10.37 | $5.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12.16 | 6.79 | 1.22 | 14.7 | 0.25 | 1.37 | 2.7 | 8.23 | 3.08 | 1.37 |
| 5 | 0.91 | 1.62 | 0.18 | 8.48 | 0.02 | 9.77 | 1.42 | 0.29 | 2.94 | 0.38 | 6.49 | 10.86 |

${ }^{a}$ Student responses for each hedonic scale category were compared by study periods.
Study period A represents changes between pre-test and interim intervals.
Study period B represents changes between interim and post-test intervals.
Study period $C$ represents changes between pre-test and post-test intervals.
$b_{p} \leq 0.01$
$c_{p} \leq 0.05$

TARLE 19
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 180 | 45 | 401 | 175 | 52 | 336 | 162 | 67 | 242 |
| 2 | 84 | 25 | 332 | 74 | 26 | 288 | 68 | 33 | 206 |
| 3 | 139 | 46 | 299 | 119 | 51 | 234 | 78 | 42 | 185 |
| 4 | 71 | 28 | 252 | 80 | 42 | 190 | 45 | 37 | 123 |
| 5 | 56 | 41 | 135 | 74 | 39 | 191 | a | a | a |

afollow-up tests were unavailable

TAbLE 20
CONTROL GRCUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC GCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE I LIKED IT

| Consecutive S-Day Survey <br> Day | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent Scudent Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 351 | 87 | 401 | 305 | 91 | 336 | 168 | 69 | 242 |
| 2 | 70 | 21 | 332 | 73 | 25 | 288 | 41 | 20 | 206 |
| 3 | 3.66 | 55 | 299 | 91 | 37 | 234 | 42 | 23 | 185 |
| 4 | 52 | 21 | 252 | 65 | 34 | 190 | 23 | 19 | 123 |
| 5 | 97 | 72 | 135 | 113 | 59 | 191 | a | 3 | a |

${ }^{a_{\text {Follow-up }}}$ tests were unavailable

TABLE 21
COITROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GRCUP, BASED ON THE HEDONIC SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT BECAUSE IT WAS GOOD FOR ME

| Conse- <br> cutive <br> 5-Day <br> Survey | Studv Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses | No. <br> Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37 | 9 | 401 | 26 | 8 | 336 | 16 | 7 | 242 |
| 2 | 11 | 3 | 332 | 14 | 5 | 238 | 11 | 5 | 206 |
| 3 | 24 | 8 | 299 | 14 | 6 | 234 | 5 | 3 | 185 |
| - 4 | 22 | 9 | 252 | 7 | 4 | 190 | 8 | 6 | 123 |
| 5 | 9 | 7 | 135 | 8 | 4 | 191 | a | a | a |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Follow-up tests were unavailable

TABLE 22
CONTROL GROUP RESPONSES FOR THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FOOD GROUP, BASED CN THE HEDONIC
SCALE CATEGORY I ATE IT bECAUSE I LIKED IT AND IT UAS GOOD FOR ME

| Conse- <br> cutive <br> 5-Day <br> Survey | Study Periods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test |  |  | Interim |  |  | Post-Test |  |  |
|  | No. <br> Student <br> Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total <br> Student <br> Responses | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Resporises | No. Student Responses | Percent Student Responses | Total Student Responses |
| Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 151 | 38 | 401 | 113 | 34 | 336 | 70 | 29 | 242 |
| 2 | 46 | 14 | 332 | 40 | 14 | 285 | 18 | 9 | 206 |
| 3 | 117 | 39 | 299 | 49 | 21 | 234 | 29 | 16 | 185 |
| 4 | 47 | 19 | 252 | 34 | 18 | 190 | 15 | 12 | 123 |
| 5 | 48 | 35 | 135 | 26 | 14 | 101 | a | a | a |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pollow-up tests were unavailabie
comparing the two test periods, these fruits and vegetables from the five day menu survey: green beans, Italian blend vegetables, corn, mixed fruit cup, broccoli, fried okra, spinach, and pears with cottage cheese decreased their response percentages $7,3,24,14,14,7,9$, and 27 percent, respectively. The responses to the fruits and vegetables served on days one, two, and four increased significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) at the "I ate it" level of acceptance for at least one of the three study periods (Table 23).

Changes, as measured by the four factor hedonic scale, did occur in non-YAC student responses to the Type A school lunch menus after YAC intervention. However, no significant changes were observed for the YAC members' nutrition knowledge test scores (Table 24). According to the t-test calculations, results of the nutrition knowledge test given to six YAC members and their controls revealed no significant differences between the two groups. Only the seventh and eighth grade YAC members and their controls were used for this test. The ninth grade students were not present on the day the test was given and did not return a completed test at a later date. The mean test score of YAC members was higher than the mean test score of the non-YAC students. The YAC and non-YAC mean scores for the pre-test were 17.16 and 15.16 , out of a possible 19 points, respectively. The mean scores for the post-test were 17.16 and 15.5 for the

TAELG 23
CHI-SQUARE COMPARISON OF CONTROL GROUP RESONSES TO THE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE FDOD CROUP
CATEGORY SERVED ON THE TYPE A LUNCH FOR PRE-TEST, INTERIM, AND
POST-TEST PERIODS ${ }^{2}$

| Consecutive 5-Day Survey <br> Day | Hedonic Scale Response Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I ate it |  |  | ```ate it because I liked it``` |  |  | I ate it because <br> it was good for me |  |  | I ate it because I liked it and it lias good for me |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| 1 | $3.77{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $12.7 \%$ | 29.52 | 1.95 | 43.91 | 31.66 | 0.5 | 0.27 | 1.33 | 1.29 | 1.43 | 5.11 |
| 2 | 0.00 | $3.16^{\text {c }}$ | 3.729 | 1.6 | 1.98 | 0.10 | 0.97 | 0.05 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 3.09 | 3.16 |
| 3 | 0.99 | 3.15 | 0.36 | 14.5 | 12.46 | 50.21 | 0.84 | 2.59 | 5.79 | 0.86 | 1.85 | 29.83 |
| 4 | 9.31 | 0.94 | $2.69{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10.26 | 8.92 | 0.19 | 4.55 | 1.28 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 1.88 | 2.45 |
| 5 | 0.25 | $\rfloor$ | d | 5.51 | d | d | 1.02 | d | d | 21.82 | d | d |

${ }^{3}$ Student responses for each hedonic scale category were compared by study periods.
Study period A represents changes between pre-test and interim intervals.
Study period $B$ represents changes between intarim and post-test intervals.
Study period $C$ represents changes between pre-test and post-test intervals.
$b_{p} \leq 0 . C 1$
$c_{p} \leq 0.05$
*Follow-up tests were unavailable

TABLE 24

## NUTRITION KNOWLEDGE TEST SCORE COMPARISONS BETWEEN <br> YAC AND NON-YAC STUDENTS

| Non-YAC Group |  |  |  | YAC Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Subject Number | Test Score Pre-Test | Percentage Post-Test | Grade Level | Subject <br> Number | Test Score Pre-Test | Percentage Post-Test |
| 7 | 1 | 68 | 79 | 7 | 7 | 95 | 95 |
| 7 | 2 | 79 | 79 | 7 | 8 | 95 | 89 |
| 7 | 3 | 74 | 68 | 7 | 9 | 79 | 89 |
| 8 | 4 | 84 | 95 | 8 | 10 | 89 | 89 |
| 8 | 5 | 74 | 74 | 8 | 11 | 84 | 79 |
| 8 | 6 | 100 | 95 | 8 | 12 | 100 | 100 |
| Mean Standard | d Deviation | $\begin{array}{r} 79.8 \\ 2.14 \end{array}$ | 81.6 2.1 | Mean Standard | Deviation | $\begin{gathered} 90.3 \\ 1.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.3 \\ 1.33 \end{gathered}$ |

YAC and non-YAC group, respectively. These differences were not significant at the $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ level.

## CONCLUSIONS

The present study was conducted to determine the effects of a Youth Advisory Council program on the dietary behavior of junior high school students. Two junior high schools in the Arlington Independent School District participated in the study, Carter Junior High and Hutcheson Junior High school. Carter Junior High school students served as the experimental group. Hutcheson Junior High school students served as controls for the study. Demographic characteristics of the two schools selected were very similar with regard to the student population represented. The majority of students lived in lower to upper middle class families with a minor percentage of those families classified as transient.

One aspect of this study examined the nutrition knowledge of the junior high YAC members. An objective nutrition test was administered to measure the effects of a series of nutrition lessons presented to YAC members. The purpose was to determine if a nutrition education program might increase nutrition knowledge and awareness of good nutrition principles.

This study also attempted to measure the influence of YAC membership on peer acceptance of the Type A school
lunch. YAC members, with guidance and direction from the school cafeteria manager and foodservice nutritionist, planned lunch menus for one week to be served throughout the district. The menus planned by the YAC were scrved three times during the school year and each time seventh and ninth grade students completed menu acceptability forms. The students rated the menu items on a four factor hedonic scale of acceptability: "I ate it," "I ate it because I liked it," "I ate it because it was good for me," and "I ate it because I liked it and it was good for me." The only week the students knew the YAC had planned the lunch menus was the second time the menus were served. The first and third menu surveys were collected to determine the baseline acceptance of the menus and any residual effects of the YAC campaign motivating students to eat a Type A lunch.

A comparison of the nutrition test scores of YAC members and their matched controls indicated no significant differences for either the pre- or post-test. The number of correct responses on the nutrition tests taken by YAC members was slightly higher than the control group's test scores. The YAC members correctly answered from 79 to 100 percent of the nutrition test questions. The control group ranged from 68 to 100 percent. The relatively high test scores of both groups might be attributed to two factors. One, the test questioned students about information they had
studied previously in school. For example, the concept of the Basic Four Good Groups was a part of the elementary and junior high school health curriculums. Second, the section of the test asking the students to identify the compoiants of the Type A lunch might have been accurately answered simply because the students had been exposed to this lunch pattern in elementary and junior high school.

Data obtained from the three menu acceptability surveys indicated that the YAC program did influence the acceptance of the school lunch by junior high school students. According to the combined responses of participating seventh grade and ninth grade students, the YAC efforts increased the acceptance of fruits, vegetables, and breads on the Type $A$ lunch. The results of the menu surveys showed very little change in the acceptability of milk or meat items. These foods were already highly acceptable to the junior high school students as indicated by the first and subsequent menu surveys.

Responses of the students from the experimental and control groups indicated a high acceptance, 67 to 100 percent consumed, of bread items when served as part of the entree. Hamburgers and tacos were highly acceptable items of both groups of students throughout the three study periods. Forty-seven to 51 percent of the students at both schools indicated they ate tacos because they liked them.

Hamburgers were consumed by 38 to 48 percent of the students because they liked them, as shown by their responses to the hedonic scale.

When the bread item served was a roll or piece of cornbread the acceptability varied. Cornbread was poorly accepted in both schools. Experimental and control group responses for all hedonic scale categories during the three study periods indicated that 21 to 56 percent of the students consumed cornbread. The low acceptability of cornbread has been documented in a previous study involving school children in grades five and ten (10). The combined response data from both schools showed that hot rolls were eaten by 11 to 100 percent of the students. Factors influencing the acceptance pattern for breads include: variations in recipe standardization, menu selection alternatives, and the role of bread in relation to other menu items.

Data for this study indicates fruits and vegetables, as a component of the Basic Four Food Groups, were the least acceptable food items on the school lunch menu. The poor acceptance of the Fruit and Vegetable Food Group has been reported in other school lunch acceptability studies of elementary, junior high and high school students (9-11). The fruits and vegetables that were well-1iked by students in both junior high schools included french fries, peaches, green beans, spiced apples, pears, and corn. French fries
were the most popular vegetable item on the menu. For all three study periods, combined data from both junior high schools indicated the french fries were eaten by 77 to 96 percent of the students. These same vegetables and fruits have been well-liked by students in other Type A lunch acceptability studies (2, 9-11).

Students from Carter Junior High, the experimental group, significantly $(P \leq 0.01)$ increased their acceptance of fruit and vegetable menu items following YAC intervention. The interim-test survey indicated significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$ ) increases for the "I ate it" level of acceptance. When comparing hedonic scale responses for the pre-test and interimstudy periods, the percentage consumption of fruits and vegetables increased for peaches (17 percent), spiced apples ( 6 percent), corn (43 percent), mixed fruit cup (20 percent), applesauce (32 percent), and fried okra (10 percent). The peaches and spiced apples were consumed by the largest percentage of students during the pre-test period, 40 and 52 percent, respectively.

Post-test measures of hedonic scale responses for the experimental group indicated a decrease in acceptance of peaches, spiced apples, tossed salad, and pears. The percentage decreases for these fruits and vegetables when comparing the interim and post-test study periods were 44 , 27, 5 and 12 percent, respectively. Statistically, the changes in acceptance were not significant.

Comparing control group responses from the pro-, interim, and post-test study periods, there were consistent decreases in consumption of peaches, green beans, corn, Italian blend vegetables, fruit cup, and okra. The percentage decreases from the pre- to post-test study periods, for the fruits and vegetables listed above, were $13,5,48,3,11$, and 10 percent, respectively. Responses from the pre- to post-test study periods indicated significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) increases in Fruit and Vegetable Food Group consumption in the acceptance category, "I ate it."

## Summary

The present study attempted to determine the effect of a YAC program on the members of the Council and their junior high school peers. The results of an objective nutrition test taken by the YAC members and their matched controls indicated no significant differences in nutrition test scores between the two groups before or after the nutrition education program for the YAC.

The YAC program did increase the level of acceptance of selected fruits, vegetables, and breads served on the Type A lunch by junior high school students. The junior high school students seemed to respond more positively when they knew their YAC group had participated in planning the Type A lunch menus. Evidence from this study indicates that efforts
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to increase participation in the school lunch program should include student involvement that is recognized by their peers at school.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A
INSTRUMENTS

## MENU ACCEPTABILITY FORM ${ }^{a}$

grade level $\qquad$ DATE $\qquad$
Directions: Place a check in the column that best describes what you had for lunch today.

| Lunch item | I ate it | I ate it because I liked it | I ate it because <br> it's good for me | I ate it because I liked it \& it's good for me |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beef Stew |  |  |  |  |
| Pepper Steak |  |  |  |  |
| Peaches |  |  |  |  |
| Green Beans |  |  |  |  |
| French Fries |  |  |  |  |
| Cornbread |  |  |  |  |
| Milk |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The same format was used for each of the five days during the selected week of YAC menus. The food items, of course, changed daily.

NAME $\qquad$
GRADE $\qquad$
TEACHER $\qquad$
Directions: Please answer the questions below to the best of your ability. This is not for a grade or for a class you are enrolled in. Thank you for your cooperation.

1. List the Basic Four food groups and the number of servings from each group a teenager needs daily:
a.
b.
c.
d. $\qquad$
$\qquad$ servings per day
$\qquad$ servings per day
$\qquad$ servings per day
$\qquad$ servings per day
2. Circle the food items to be included in the school Type A lunch pattern:

MEAT
MILK
DESSERT
POTATOES

FRUIT
VEGETABLES
BREAD
JUICE
3. Below are some examples of a Type A school 1unch menu. In the blank below each menu write the missing food item or group in that menu.

Corny dogs
Applesauce
Hot roll
Dessert
Milk

Spaghetti
Hot roll
Green beans
Green salad
Cake
Iced tea

Chicken fried steak Corn
Spinach
Apple crisp
Milk

NAME $\qquad$
Directions: Fill in what you eat during the day for the three days listed below. Please list everything you eat--snacks, meals, etc. This is not a test and the information will not be given to anyone but Mrs. Williamson. Thank you for your help. Try to estimate how much of each food item was eaten and write that in the spaces below. Be as specific as possible about the types of food eaten.

Date $\qquad$
Morning:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Noon:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Evening:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

OVER FOR THE THIRD DAY...
Evening:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ ——_____ ——_-_

 -

APPENDIX B
YAC PLANNED MENUS

## yac menus

## Day 1

Beef Stew
Pepper Steak
Peaches
Green Beans

French Fries
Cornbread
Milk

$$
\text { Day } 2
$$

Tacos
Grilled Cheese Sandwich Pinto Beans

Spiced Apples
Italian B1end Vegetabies Milk

$$
\text { Day } 3
$$

Chili Dogs
Chicken Fried Steak
Corn
Tossed Green Salad
Fruit Cup
Hot Roll
Milk

## Day 4

Spaghetti
Ham Patty
Broccoli with Cheese Sauce
Fried Okra
Hot Roll
Rosie Applesauce

$$
\text { Day } 5
$$

| Hamburgcr | Spinach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Manager's Choice | Pears with Cottage Cheese |
| French Fries | Milk |

## APPENDIX C

NUTRITION LESSON PLANS

## YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL STUDENT NUTRITION LESSON PLANS

1. WHAT IS A TYPE A SCHOOL LUNCH OR BREAKFAST??????

A Type A school lunch or breakfast must follow the menu patterns given below:

## LUNCH

| Food Item | Serving (s) | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | 1 | 1 cup (1/2 pint) |
| Meat or Meat Alternate | 1 | ```2 oz. cooked meat 1 ~ e g g 2 oz. cheese 1/2 c. cooked dried beans 4 Tbsp. peanut butter``` |
| Vegetables \& Fruits | 2 | ```3/4 c. serving of two or more vegetables or fruits, or both. l food item (apple, banana, orange)``` |
| Bread | 1 | 1 slice enriched bread 1 serving of cornbread 1 roll, muffin, etc. |

BREAKFAST

| Milk | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Protein Source 1 Suggested 3 times/week

Breads \& 1
3/4 c. enriched cereal
Cereals
1 slice enriched bread
1 serving cornbread
1 roll, muffin, etc.

Fruits \&
1
Vegetables
$1 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. full strength juice
$1 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. fruit or vegetable
2. WHAT NUTRIENTS DO WE NEED THAT THIS MENU PATTERN PROVIDES?

In the chart below are several major nutrients needed for health and well-being by any group of people. Studies have shown that teen-agers, as a group, do not get enough iron, calcium, vitamin $A$, or thiamin in their diets. These are the nutrients you should pay special attention to in your diets.

NUTRIENT
FOOD SOURCES
NEEDED BY THE BODY TO:
Calcium Milk, cheese, dark Build bones \& teeth, green leafy vegetables Help muscles work

| Iron | Liver, meat, green <br> leafy vegetables (dark) | Build red blood cells, <br> Prevent anemia, carry <br> oxygen to all parts <br> of the body |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protein | Meat, eggs, milk, <br> breads and cereals | Build \& repair tissues, <br> Promote growth, <br> Provide energy |
| Fats | Butter, salad oils, <br> fatty meats | Provide energy <br> (calories) |
| CarbohydratesBreads g cereals, <br> potatoes, corn, <br> sugar, jelly | Provide energy <br> (calories) |  |

Vitamin A Deep yellow or dark Keep skin healthy, green leafy vegetables, Protect against night liver, whole milk blindness

```
B Vitamins Bread, meat, milk
-thiamin
-niacin
-riboflavin
```

Keep nervous system healthy
Maintain good appetite

| NUTRIENT | FOOD SOURCES | NEEDED BY THE BODY TO: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vitamin C | Citrus fruits, white <br> potatoes, tomatoes, <br> broccoli, strawberries | Hold body cells to- <br> gether, <br> Resist infection, <br> Help wounds heal |
| Vitamin D | Vitamin D milk | Build strong bones |

3. ISN'T THERE AN EASIER WAY TO FIGURE OUT WUETHER THESE MENUS ARE GOOD FOR US WITHOUT CHECKING ALL THOSE NUTRIENTS?

Yes, a plan called the Basic Four groups foods in such a way that all of the nutrients listed previously will be present in adequate amounts if this plan is followed. For example:

BASIC FOUR FOOD GROUPS

1. Milk \& Milk Products

4 servings/day

LEADER NUTRIENTS
Protein
Riboflavin
Calcium
2. Fruits \& Vegetables 4 servings/day:

1 serving vitamin C Vitamin C
1 serving vitamin A
2 servings other fruits or vegetables
3. Meat \& Meat Alternates

2 servings/day
Protein
Thiamin
Riboflavin
Niacin
Iron
4. Breads \& Cereals 4 servings/day

B Vitamins
Carbohydrates Iron

There may be a fifth group, OTHER FOODS, that provide CARBOHYDRATES \&FATS. OTHER FOODS include butter, margarine, sugars, candy, doughnuts, cake, carbonated beverages, gelatins, cookies, etc. These foods add extra CALORIES to the diet and, sometimes, very small amounts of nutrients.
4. NOW THAT WE KNOW A SIMPLE PLAN TO USE WHEN CHECKING FOR A NUTRITIOUS MENU, LET'S PUT OUR KNOWLEDGE INTO ACTION.

Here is a sample school lunch menu. In the space provided, list the servings from the Basic Four found in this menu.

MENU
CORNY DOGS
BUTTER CARROTS
TOSSED GREEN SALAD
BROWNIES
MILK

Does this menu provide approximately $1 / 3$ of the Basic Four requirements for each group? $\qquad$
Does this menu lack anything according to the Basic Four plan?
5. Look at the menu again, are there some foods on the menu we know most teenagers do not like? LIST THESE:

DISLIKED FOODS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

REPLACE WITH
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Did the foods you replaced in the menu contain the same nutritive value as the disliked foods? $\qquad$
6. TO EVALUATE THESE LUNCH OR BREAKFAST MENUS USE THE COLOR CODE METHOD. USING THE COLOR DISCS FOR THE BASIC FOUR, DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF SERVINGS FROM EACH GROUP.

METUU
ENCHILADAS WITH CHILE \& CHEESE

HOT ROLL
TOSSED GREEN SALAD
PINTO BEANS OR CORN
Does this menu provide approximately $1 / 3$ of the Basic Four requirements for each group? $\qquad$
Does this menu lack anything according to the Basic Four plan?

Does this menu seem to have too much of any one nutrient?

Would you replace any of the foods in the menu with a better liked food? If so, what would you replace it with?
7. EVALUATE THIS MENU, USING THE COLOR-CODE METHOD. ANSWER THE QUESTIONS FOLLOWING THE MENU.

MENU: ALL BEEF HAMBURGERS, STEAK HOUSE FRIES, ORANGE JELLO, ENGLISH PEAS, CHOCOLATE CAKE (carrots \& pineapple in it)
Is there anything lacking according to the Basic Four plan?

Would you replace any of the foods in the menu?

YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL
TEACHER NUTRITION LESSON PLANS

SUGGESTIONS FOR BUILDING ENTHUSIASM AMONG YAC MEMBERS AND THEIR CLASSMATES FOR THE SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM:

1. Organize the YAC group early--spring or summer.
2. Select members based on their application and grades. Do not automatically keep YAC members from year to year.
3. Make a suggestion box for students and teachers in the cafeteria.
4. Write a YAC column in the student newspaper.
5. Put up a YAC bulletin board for all school events in the cafeteria.
6. Give a prize for the student who selects and eats the most Type A lunches in a month.
7. Conduct a food preference survey among students. From these suggestions and opinions try to improve the lunch program acceptability.
8. Begin a "Keep the Cafeteria Clean" campaign.
9. Put up posters on good nutrition in the cafeteria.
10. Allow school spirit posters in the cafeteria--in good taste!
11. Involve the school administrators, especially the principal.
12. Involve the parents and PTA whenever possible.
13. YAC members may want to help serve behind the cafeteria lines, but a health permit is required as well as a TB test.
14. Conduct a tasting party of salesman's samples duxing the summer or school year. Ask the Director of Food Service to arrange this activity for the students. This is the process used to select the foods to buy for the school cafeterias.
15. WHAT IS A TYPE A SCHOOL LUNCH OR BREAKFAST??????

A Type A school lunch or breakfast must follow the menu patterns given below:

LUNCH

| Food Item | Serving (s) | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk | 1 | $1 \operatorname{cup}(1 / 2 \mathrm{pint})$ |
| Meat or Meat Alternate | 1 | ```2 oz. cooked meat 1 egg 2 oz. cheese 1/2 c. cooked dried beans 4 Tbsp. peanut butter``` |
| Vegetables \& Fruits | 2 | ```3/4 c. serving of two or more vegetables or fruits, or both. 1 food item (apple, banana, orange)``` |
| Bread | 1 | 1 slice enriched bread 1 serving of cornbread 1 roll, muffin, etc. |

BREAKFAST
Mi1k
1
1 cup

Protein Source 1 Suggested 3 times/week

Breads \& 1
3/4 c. enriched cereal
Cereals
1 slice enriched bread
1 serving cornbread
1 roll, muffin, etc.

Fruits \&
Vegetables
-

1
$1 / 2$ c. full strength juice
$1 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. fruit or vegetable

## TEACHER SECTION

Section 1 - What is a Type A lunch or breakfast pattern?

## TEACHER NOTES

1. This lunch pattern was developed to provide approximately $1 / 3$ of the recommended daily nutrient allowances for teenagers.
2. Nutrients - substances found in foods necessary for body function. The six major nutrient groups include: protein, vitamins, minerals, water, fats, and carbohydrates.
3. The breakfast plan does not have to include a protein source, but it has been suggested by food service personnel that a protein source be included 3 times per week.

## SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES

1. Use food models, available from the Dairy Council, to help students understand how to classify foods under each of the four groups listed.

Students must be able to correctly classify foods as a bread, fruit, vegetable, meat or meat alternate, or milk product. This step is necessary before progressing through the rest of the learning experiences.
2. Obtain sample school menus and help students recognize how the menus meet the lunch or breakfast plan requirements.
3. Illustrate, with food models or measuring equipment or food samples, the serving sizes of the required food items.
4. Obtain the serving utensils used by the cafeteria line workers to illustrate how food portions are standardized and measured.
2. WHAT NUTRIENTS DO WE NEED THAT THIS MENU PATTERN PROVIDES?

In the chart below are several major nutrients needed for health and well-being by any group of people. Studies have shown that teen-agers, as a group, do not get enough iron, calcium, vitamin $A$, or thiamin in their diets. These are the nutrients you should pay special attention to in your diets.

NUTRIENT
FOOD SOURCES
NEEIED BY THE BODY TO:
Calcium
Milk, cheese, dark Build bones $\mathcal{G}$ teeth, green leafy vegetables Help muscles work
Iron Liver, meat, dark Build red blood cells, green leafy vegetables Prevent anemia, carry oxygen to all parts of the body

| Protein | Meat, eggs, milk, <br> breads and cereals | Build \& repair tissues, <br> Promote growth, <br> Provide energy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fats | Butter, salad oils, <br> fatty meats | Provide energy <br> (calories) |
| CarbohydratesBreads \& cereals, <br> potatoes, corn, <br> sugar, jelly | Provide energy <br> (calories) |  |

Vitamin A

Deep yellow or dark green leafy vegetables, Protect against night liver, whole milk blindness

| B Vitamins | Bread, meat, milk | Keep nervous system <br> -thiamin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| healthy |  |  |
| -niacin |  | Maintain good appe- |
| -riboflavin |  |  |

## TEACHER SECTION

Section 2 - What nutrients do we need that this menu pattern provides?

## TEACHER NOTES

1. The best way to get adequate amounts of these nutrients is to eat a varied diet each day.
2. Nutrients operate in many ways in the body, only the most important functions are listed on the chart.
3. Citrus fruits include oranges, lemons, limes and grapefruit. Pineapple is not considered a citrus fruit and contains very little vitamin $C$ in comparison to citrus fruit vitamin C levels.
4. Carbohydrates and fats are absolutely necessary in the diet, but in excess can cause obesity. Obesity plagues, at the present time, $30-40 \%$ of the American adult population.
5. Certain vegetables are considered high starch foods (high carbohydrate content). These foods include potatoes, corn, turnips, sweet potatoes, and other white vegetables that are roots. These foods would not be appropriate in a menu already containing high carbohydrate foods, i.e., bread, cereal, macaroni, spaghetti, pizza crust, etc.
6. A good source of vitamin $C$ should be included daily. A good source of vitamin A should be included 3-4 times per week.

## SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES

1. Use the Dairy Council comparison cards to illustrate the nutrient content of various foods.
2. Identify foods that are major sources of several nutrients. For example:

Dark green leafy vegetables are good sources of vitamin $A$, calcium, iron, and other vitamins $\&$ minerals.

Milk and milk products are good sources of calcium, protein, vitamin $D$ and riboflavin.
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3. Conduct a tasting party of vegetables, prepared in umusual ways if you like, that are high in nutritive value.
4. ISN'T THERE AN EASIER WAY TO FIGURE OUT WHETHER THESE MENUS ARE GOOD FOR US WITHOUT CHECKING ALL THOSE NUTRIENTS?

Yes, a plan called the Basic Four groups foods in such a way that all of the nutrients listed previously will be present in adequate amounts if this plan is followed. For example:

BASIC FOUR FOOD GROUPS

1. Milk \& Milk Products

4 servings/day

LEADER NUTRIENTS
Protein
Riboflavin
Calcium
2. Fruits \& Vegetables 4 servings/day:

1 serving vitamin C Vitamin C
1 serving vitamin A
2 servings other fruits or vegetables
3. Meat \& Meat Alternates 2 servings/day

Protein
Thiamin
Riboflavin
Niacin
Iron
4. Breads \& Cereals

B Vitamins 4 servings/day

Carbohydrates
Iron

There may be a fifth group, OTHER FOODS, that provide CARBOHYDRATES \& FATS. OTHER FOODS include butter, margarine, sugars, candy, doughnuts, cake, carbonated beverages, gelatins, cookies, etc. These foods add extra CALORIES to the diet and, sometimes, very small amounts of nutrients.

## TEACHER SECTION

Section 3 - Basic Four Food Groups

## TEACHER NOTES

1. The Type A lunch pattern is designed around the Basic 4 Food Group concept. The Basic 4 is an excellent guide to nutritious eating and one that can be used very simply and quickly.
2. The leader nutrients are those nutrients found in large amounts in a specific food group. This classification of nutrients is fairly easy to use and identifies the types of food high in certain nutrients.
3. Serving sizes of the four food groups vary from food to food and should be illustrated to students. NOTE: use guidelines for teen-agers listed in the Basic Four plan.

## SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES

1. Review the foods that fit into each food group.
2. Using Dairy Council food models, illustrate serving sizes of various foods in each group.
3. Review the functions of the leader nutrients and generalize this information according to the food groups:

Milk and milk products help our bodies grow and repair themselves (protein), build bones and teeth (calcium, Vit. D), and provide energy for growth to occur (riboflavin).

Riboflavin is an integral part of an enzyme necessary for the conversion of food energy to a form of energy we can use for body functions $\&$ growth.
4. NOW THAT WE KNOW A SIMPLE PLAN TO USE WHEN CHECKING FOR A NUTRITIOUS MENU, LET'S PUT OUR KNOWLEDGE INTO ACTION.

Here is a simple school lunch menu. In the space provided, list the servings from the Basic Four found in this menu.

MENU
CORNY DOGS
BUTTER CARROTS
TOSSED GREEN SALAD
BROWNIES
BASIC FOUR PLAN
Milk group
Meat group
Fruit \& vegetable

> Bread \&

MILK cereal

Does this menu provide approximately $1 / 3$ of the Basic Four requirements for each group? $\qquad$
Does this menu lack anything according to the Basic Four plan?
5. Look at the menu again, are there some foods on the menu we know most teenagers do not like? LIST THESE:

DISLIKED FOODS
REPLACE WITH
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Did the foods you replaced in the menu contain the same nutritive value as the disliked foods? $\qquad$

## TEACHER SECTION

Sections 4 and 5 - Menu evaluations

## TEACHER NOTES

1. Some menu items do contain ingredients from more than one food group. Be aware of the ingredients used in preparing school lunches--see the food service ordering and portion control guide.
2. A school survey of student food preferences should be completed before the section is begun.
3. Menu evaluation, to be successful, must reflect a good knowledge of the Basic 4, serving sizes, and the importance of food nutrients in maintaining health.

SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES

1. Obtain actual school menus to evaluate.
2. Using common sense and the portion and ordering control guide, determine the major ingredients in the food items.
3. To complete section 5 , the student food preferences survey results should be used.
4. Determine if there is an over-abundance of carbohydrates and fats in the menu.
5. Are certain food groups over-emphasized? Are there too many servings from a food group? Is this harmful or not?
6. Take a field trip to the food service warehouse to see how ingredients are packaged and stored.
7. Tour the school cafeteria food preparation area.
8. Ask the cafeteria manager to speak to the group on how menus are planned and how food preparation on a large scale must differ from food preparation done for a family.
9. TO EVALUATE THESE LUNCH OR BREAKFAST MENUS USE THE COLORCODE METHOD. USING THE COLOR DISCS FOR THE BASIC FOUR, determine the number of servings from fach group.

Meinu
BASIC FOUR PIAN
ENCHILADAS WITH CHILE
\& Cheese
HOT ROLL
TOSSED GREEN SALAD
PINTO BEANS OR CORN
Does this menu provide approximately $1 / 3$ of the Basic
Four requirements for each group? $\qquad$
Does this menu lack anything according to the Basic Four plan?

Does this menu seem to have too much of any one nutrient?

Would you replace any of the foods in the menu with a better liked food? If so, what would you replace it with?
7. EVALUATE THIS MENU, USING THE COLOR-CODE METHOD. ANSWER the questions following the aienu.
menu: All beef hamburgers, steak house fries, orange jello, english peas, chocolate cake (carrots $\&$ pineapple in it)
Is there anything lacking according to the Basic Four plan?

Would you replace any of the foods in the menu?

## TEACHER SECTION

Sections 6 and 7 - Advanced menu evaluation
TEACHER NOTES

1. Refer to sections 4 and 5 .

## SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES

1. Progress to menus using food items more difficult to place in a food group category.
2. Use the color-code discs to, quickly, determine the menu's adherence to the Basic 4 plan.

Each color disc represents a serving from one of the following food groups:

| Brown disc | - meat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yellow disc | - | vitamin $C$ serving |
| Orange disc | - vitamin A serving |  |
| Green disc | - other vegetables and fruits |  |
| Red disc | - breads and cereals |  |
| Blue disc | - milk and milk products |  |

Students put down, on the table or paper, the color discs appropriate for each menu item. This allows them to SEE how the menu stacks up nutritionally.
3. After successfully completing this section, the students should be able to plan a menu for a day, and then a week, for their cafeteria manager, food service director and food service nutritionist in this project. They will provide all the necessary information.
4. The whole purpose of these lessons in nutrition and menu planning should be geared to planning school lunches. This is a goal students are eager to tackle and feel they can accomplish (with some help).

APPENDIX D
PERMISSION LETTERS

# GUY C. HUTCCIESON JUNIOR HIGH SCIOOL 2101 BROWNING JDRIVE 460-657? ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76010 

November 20, 1978

Texas Womans University
Human Research Committee

Permissjon is granted for the students at Hutcheson Junior High School to participate in the Type A lunch survey.

This survey will be conducted by Mrs. Beverly Williamson.


ل. I. CARTER JR. HIGH SEHODL
TUI THARP STREET

70 WHO IT MAY CONCERN:

I have given my permission for the students at Carter Junior High to participate in the thesis project as proposed by Beverly Williamson.

I understand the project will involve the completion of menu acceptability cards by those students eating a Type A lunch. The Youth Advisory Council of Carter Junior High will also be participating in this study.
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