PREABORTION ANXIETY IN WOMEN AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE MALE PARTNER'S INVOLVEMENT AND THE WOMAN'S ABORTION ATTITUDE

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN PSYCHOLOGY

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF THE

TEXAS WOMAN'S UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

BY

CONNIE SHACK, M.A.

DENTON, TEXAS

DECEMBER 1980

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank each of the members of my committee, Roberta Nutt, Basil Hamilton, and Bud Littlefield, for valuable help in the preparation of this thesis. Their expertise and willingness to help as well as the freedom they allowed me to work independently produced a climate in which I could learn as much as possible.

I gratefully thank the director and staff of the clinic involved. Without the cooperation of these people, who took time from busy schedules, the data collection would have taken months. A special thanks to the counselors, Carole, Deborah, Holly, Kristin, Lisa, Lynn, and Patsy, for their interest, motivation, and special efforts.

I thank Tom for his patience and understanding and for his consistent encouragement throughout every phase of my research. Finally, my sincere thanks to my family who has tolerated my irritability and moods when things did not go smoothly. I deeply thank my father who has always provided me with an incentive to achieve throughout my education. His logic, intelligence, understanding, and love have been my inspiration and encouraged me to progress in academia and personal growth.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | | PAGE |
|---------|--|-----------|
| | ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | . iii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | . vi |
| I | INTRODUCTION TO LITERATURE | . 1 |
| | Anxiety in Abortion Patients | . 2 |
| | Male Partner Involvement | . 5 |
| | Relationship Factors | . 8 |
| | Woman's Abortion Attitude | . 11 |
| II | METHOD | . 15 |
| | Subjects | . 15 |
| | Instruments | . 15 |
| | Procedure | . 18 |
| III | RESULTS | . 21 |
| IV | DISCUSSION | . 31 |
| | REFERENCE NOTE | . 42 |
| | REFERENCES | . 43 |
| | APPENDICES | . 47 |
| | A Informed Consent Form | . 48 |
| | B A-State Scale of the STAI | . 50 |
| | C Abortion Attitude Scale | . 52 |
| | D Dyadic Adjustment Scale | • 55 |
| | E Demographic and Background Questionnaire | . 59 |

| | | | | | | PAGE |
|---|---------------|-----|-----------|--|--|------|
| F | De-Briefing . | | | | | 62 |
| G | Total List of | All | Variables | | | 64 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | PAGE |
|----|---|------|
| 1. | Mean Scores and Standard Deviations for the A-State Scale of the STAI, the DAS, and the AAS | 21 |
| 2. | Point-Biserial, Pearson Product-Moment, and Omega Squared Correlations and Significance Tests for All Variables | 23 |
| 3. | One-Way Analysis of Variance of Attitude Scores and Religion | 25 |
| 4. | Demographic Characteristics and Their Frequencies | 27 |
| 5. | Means, Standard Deviations, and Sample Sizes for Going Together and Living Together Couples Separated by Presence or Absence of Male Partners | 29 |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION TO LITERATURE

The literature on abortion has focused on subjective feelings of abortion patients, possible aftereffects, medical risks, surgical safety, public opinion, and effective contraception. Surprisingly, there is little information on the patient's attitude toward abortion and the contribution of her environmental support system, specifically the male partner involved, and the result of these elements on the patient's anxiety. These aspects are relatively unexplored and are only recently being mentioned in abortion literature. The focus of the present study is three-fold: (1) to determine whether the presence or absence of the male partner of a woman seeking abortion appears to be related to the patient's level of anxiety at the time of the abortion, (2) to explore the couple's relationship as the woman perceives it and the consequence of the relationship on the woman's anxiety, and (3) to investigate the woman's attitude toward abortion and how the attitude contributes to anxiety if it is in fact related. In addition, an attempt will be made to determine whether age and religion are related to the woman's abortion attitude.

Anxiety in abortion patients

When examining the decision-making process involved in and the psychological reaction to abortion, the most noted characteristic of abortion patients is anxiety. Women seeking an elective abortion procedure often appear to experience anxiety before and during the operation. During the past decade, there have been numerous studies and reviews concerned with the emotional reactions of therapeutic abortion applicants (Burkle, 1977; Shainess, 1968; Wallerstein, Kurtz, & Bar-Din, 1972; Walter, 1970; Williams, Jones, Workhoven, & Williams, 1975). The findings are contradictory. From individual self-reports, postabortion feelings ranged on a continuum from regret, incapacity to work, impaired mental health, and crying to indifference, condition unchanged, and mild reproach. Finally, most individuals experienced relief, satisfaction, and much smiling.

Anxiety may be manifested and expressed by different individuals in different ways depending upon the individual's psychological, physiological, and emotional background. All surgical procedures produce anxiety in most patients because there are unknown factors about possible discomforts and outcomes (Graham & Conley, 1971). Anxiety may be defined as the "apprehensive tension or uneasiness which stems from the subjective anticipation of imminent or impending danger, in which the source is largely unknown or

unrecognized" (Graham & Conley, 1971, p. 114). It is often conveyed through the patient as an alarm reaction.

Freemen (1978) reported that nearly all of 329 women seeking abortion in June-July 1975 in Philadelphia were distressed to some degree. Ambivalence is a predominant feeling in abortion seekers. In Freeman's study, 28% said that they had expected to have abortions if unwanted pregnancies occurred, 37% said they were certain they never would, and 13% said they had not known what they would do. For many, the abortion decision contradicted their perceptions about themselves. Differences in emotional acceptance of abortion experience were significantly associated with personality characteristics. Those women who had not resolved their abortion experience four months later reported having attributes that suggested a negative self-image or avoidance of feelings. Those women who had resolved their feelings indicated a positive self-image, greater sense of mastery and achievement, as well as willingness to express and cope with feelings.

Walter (1970) found that the reaction to abortion is not entirely situational; rather it is almost entirely determined by a woman's previous psychological set. The previously held common view has been that abortion frequently precipitates severe negative reactions. Presently there is a shift away from that viewpoint. Recent studies

reveal that extreme reactions are rare and, when they do occur, are generally associated with problems existing prior to the abortion (Adler, 1975). Negative emotions present two different issues: (1) socially based emotions (i.e., violation of norms) and (2) internally based emotions (i.e., sense of loss, regret, guilt, anger). When looking at the overall abortion response, these socially and internally based negative emotions are experienced by abortion patients to a small extent. Feelings of relief and happiness are dominant. Abortion patients experience these responses fairly strongly during the abortion experience and the strength of these positive emotions is unrelated to the factors which influence the negative emotions. This finding adds evidence to current studies suggesting that the predominant emotional responses to abortion are happiness and relief (Adler, 1975). These findings are inconsistent with earlier studies.

There are many deficiencies in sampling and in research design in abortion studies, including failure to investigate preabortion emotional status of the patient and the presence and extent of a support system involving the male partner. It is likely that anxiety is a function of relevant psychological aspects of the woman including her perceived relationship with the male partner involved, the presence or absence of the male partner at the time of the

abortion, and her attitude toward abortion. These aspects are relatively new to the literature on abortion and the lack of investigation in these areas are indicative of the deficiencies in sampling and research noted above.

Male partner involvement

The men who accompany women to abortion clinics usually have not been offered any of the educational services offered to patients. Those men who do not accompany women to the abortion clinic forfeit their most readily available opportunity to learn about the abortion procedure and possible side effects. It is common practice for many clinics to recommend that patients bring someone with them (Brashear, 1973), and many patients do bring at least one other person. Abortion counselors have noted that significant others, specifically the male partner involved, have been shown to be influential in decision-making surrounding unplanned pregnancies. Rothstein (1977) also found this to be true when sampling 60 men who accompanied their female partners to an abortion clinic. Two foci of the present study are the patient-male partner relationship and the presence or absence of the male partner at the time of the abortion.

As for the male partners, there is little known about this group of people. Newman (1973) pointed out that "there are practically no studies of the background characteristics, psychodynamics, and behavior of the male partners of females

seeking and obtaining abortions" (p. 560).

There is a presumed mutuality of influence within the patient-male partner relationship. The following examples illustrate some of the kinds of interactive effects of this relationship that were found to surround the abortion deci-(1) Bracken, Hachamovitch, and Grossman (1974) found that the delay in reaching the decision to have an abortion correlated with lack of support from significant others; (2) Bracken et al. (1974) found a significantly more favorable reaction to abortion in women who judged their partners to be more supportive; (3) Freeman (1978) found that at the time of a four-month postabortion follow-up, women who had resolved the abortion experience perceived partner support for the abortion decision while every woman who described the abortion experience as upsetting lacked partner support; and (4) a study of 432 girls aged 12-17 who were unintentionally pregnant showed that, of those who decided to abort their pregnancies, 50% said that they had been influenced in the decision by their boyfriends (Digest, 1978).

The decision to abort may be a joint decision by the woman and her male partner; therefore, the impact on the man and the dyadic relationship are relevant. The woman's perception of her relationship with the man may be an important determinant of her ability to cope with the experience and her amount of anxiety.

Some men are emotionally invested in the woman and emotionally involved in the abortion. Many women consider the male partner's response to the abortion experience as significant (Rothstein, 1977). The Rothstein study randomly sampled 60 men who accompanied their female partners to the Bronx Municipal Hospital abortion clinic. These men wanted to accompany their partners and support them and share the responsibility for preventing pregnancy yet they were inadequately informed about birth control and abortion and showed little interest in involvement in the clinical services offered to the patients. The Rothstein study sought to explore the relationships of couples seeking abortion. There is only a small body of research concerning abortion's impact on the couple's relationship. In studying couples who have sought abortion, the majority of the relationships were characterized by intensity, stability, a sense of mutuality, and understanding and trust, but not as much by a sense of shared responsibility. In the Rothstein sample, the relationships seemed fairly solid (long-standing), most felt they communicated their feelings well and understood each other's feelings, and the majority of the relationships were neither casual nor conflict-ridden. In this sense, abortion may have a growthpromoting effect upon some couples. Whether abortion evokes crisis in the relationship or not is still unknown. Crisis refers to a time when individuals are faced with an obstacle

with their problems are not effective enough to reduce the high level of tension they are experiencing. The individual may feel helpless, defeated, and alone (Caplan, 1963).

Researchers have not resolved the issue of whether abortion evokes crisis or promotes growth. Therefore, another inconsistency in abortion literature may be noted.

Heineman (1973) studied a limited sample of 40 women seeking abortions at a clinic in New York City. Most of the women were involved in a serious relationship with the reputed fathers. Almost half of the women planned to continue the relationship with the man involved; the others planned on marriage.

Relationship factors

Keeping in mind the Heineman and Rothstein findings, the request for abortion and the abortion itself may be seen as components of an ongoing relational process. An abortion is an occasion when a women may feel entitled to enlist the help of her total relationship system in arriving at a decision. Ignoring the relationship dynamics is ignoring the implications for mutual caring and long-term trust (Cotroneo & Krasner, 1977). To the extent that the couple are involved in an emotionally significant relationship with each other, each partner's mood and emotional state will have a profound effect on the other's. As in any important

social system, input from either partner affects both of them, and negative feelings from either often reverberate through the system, to the detriment of everybody within it (Satir, 1967).

Although many women may fail to recognize the extent to which their support system influences them, counselors in the field of abortion support the opinion that the male partner involved may have a highly significant influence on the woman. The presence of the male partner at the time of abortion may in itself produce feelings of anxiety. Two studies have noted abnormally high anxiety levels in male partners of abortion patients (Gordon, 1978; Lees, 1975). These findings are supported by the subjective observations of abortion providers that the significant others of their patients are usually very anxious. When the problem of an unwanted pregnancy arises, men are typically moved from their customary decision-making, action orientation role (Lees, 1975). They must often sit anxiously on the sidelines while the woman takes over. This may perhaps have the effect of precipitating anxiety for both partners, and may have long-term implications for their relationship. A triangular relationship between patient, male partner, and physician suddenly replaces the dyadic relationship of the couple. The male inevitably carries the least influence and has the least power in the abortion issue. This may

produce stress and helplessness within the male partner which possibly influences and adds to anxiety in the woman.

Through consultations and interviews with counselors and doctors in the field of abortion, it has been noted that many women have requested that their male partners not accompany them to the abortion clinic (Boyd, Note 1).

Through subjective observations it appears as though the presence of the male partner adds to any anxiety which already exists in the woman. His presence enhances feelings of discomfort and uneasiness. Many women do not wish for their male partners to participate in the preabortion counseling session. Many abortion patients seem to prefer a one-to-one individual session where the women can focus more closely on their own feelings and anxiety resolution rather than on additional anxiety expressed by the male partner. His presumed lack of knowledge may foster anxiety and promote stronger anxious feelings in the woman.

Although abortion may range from a time of crisis to a time of personal growth, most persons who go through the abortion experience do not maintain the characteristic crisis behaviors of high panic or depression (Shainess, 1968). Caplan (1963) noted that the most significant factors for positive resolution of a stressful condition are the individual's relationship with significant others and their responses to the crisis. It follows, then, that the

presence or absence of a significant male partner and the nature of the relationship are important mediating variables which may serve to lessen or possibly increase the effect of the presumed crisis for both partners. Abortion counselors have increasingly recognized that the male partner plays a significant role in the woman's reaction to the procedure, especially at the time of the abortion itself.

Woman's abortion attitude

Presumably, the more negative the woman's attitude toward abortion, the higher risk that woman runs of experiencing a negative psychologic reaction and escalating anxiety levels. It has been shown that attitudes toward abortion may vary depending on demographic variables such as race, sex, religion, family size, college education, etc.

Through various public opinion surveys, Blake (1971) has noted that the non-Catholic, college-educated men are more favorable toward abortion than non-Catholic, college-educated women. Also, the upper-class males are more supportive for the freedom of abortion than are upper-class women. In addition, the amount of disapproval by Catholics has decreased rapidly since the beginning of the 1960 decade.

Maxwell (1970) sampled 323 undergraduate students in 1968. He found that males were more liberal in their attitudes toward abortion than were females, upperclassmen were

more favorably inclined toward abortion than lowerclassmen, and students actively involved in church activities were more conservative than those students with moderate, little, or no activity in church. In addition, students who were from families having four or more children were most conservative while students from families with two or three children were more liberal. Students who were an only child were the most liberal.

Lastly, it has been found in black populations which have been studied that abortion is seen as the "white man's" way of eliminating the black race (Hamrick, Dollar, Scruggs, & Wardlow, 1977). Some blacks believe that government funding for abortions is a way to prevent minorities from increasing their percentage of the total population. With this information in mind, a confounding variable to the woman's attitude may be the ethnic group to which she belongs (whether it be Afro-American, Mexican-American, Chinese, or any other minority).

As women of childbearing age can be viewed as the potential consumers of abortion, their attitudes play an important role in their response to abortion. Through the years there has been a steady increase in abortion numbers and rates. Data drawn from five U.S. Gallup Polls taken from 1962-1969 and from the National Fertility study of 1965 indicated that abortion was most strongly supported by

the non-Catholic, male, well-educated establishment (Blake, 1971). By 1970, the general public attitude was moving in a liberal direction. Populations across the United States were largely ambivalent. The Far West and East led in support for abortion. The South was the bastion of conservative attitudes and the disapproval among non-Catholics in the South was higher than among Catholics in the country as a whole. The Midwest expressed disapproval of abortion for economic or financial and elective reasons (Blake, 1971).

A sample of 489 women seeking elective abortions at a New York clinic indicated that more favorable reactions to abortion were received from the married and older women (Bracken et al., 1974). In addition, a survey of 353 undergraduate students at a Memphis university revealed the following: (1) the population sample strongly favored abortion availability, (2) the majority of the population were in favor of abortion remaining legal, and (3) most of the students were unsure as to when life begins (Hamrick et al., 1977). Although some of the women in the sample would not choose abortion if they had an unplanned pregnancy, they still advocated the freedom to choose abortion. Accordingly, an additional focus of the present study is the correlation of age and religion with the woman's abortion attitude. Due to the fact that there has been no systematic survey of abortion patients in this area of attitude, the probable

direction of the results is questionable. It is likely that the younger women will have more favorable attitudes toward abortion than the older women. Also, it is likely that non-Catholic women will have more favorable abortion attitudes than Catholic women.

The purpose of this study is to determine whether there are significant correlations between the woman's level of anxiety and (1) the woman's perception of her relationship with the man involved, (2) the presence or absence of the male partner at the time of the abortion, and (3) the woman's attitude toward abortion. In addition, the study will determine whether there are correlations between the woman's abortion attitude and (1) her age and (2) her religion. It is hypothesized that (1) higher anxiety levels will be found in those women who perceive their relationship as being more positive, reflecting closeness and support, as compared to women who do not perceive their relationship so positively, (2) higher anxiety levels will be found in those women whose male partners have accompanied them to the clinic due to reverberating anxious feelings throughout the system, (3) women with more negative or conservative attitudes toward abortion will have higher anxiety levels than women with positive or liberal attitudes, and (4) non-Catholic and younger women will have more favorable attitudes toward abortion than will older women and Catholic women.

CHAPTER II

METHOD

Subjects

Subjects consisted of 100 women seeking first trimester abortions at a private abortion clinic in a large southwestern city. There were two groups of patients: those women whose male partners were present at the time of abortion (50 patients) and those women whose male partners were not present (50 patients). Patients were tested every day for $3\frac{1}{2}$ weeks under the assumption that this time period of year was no different from any other time period of year. Once each group had reached its quota, data collection for that group was discontinued. Participation in the study was on a voluntary basis and the treatment of the patients was in accordance with the ethical standards of the APA. All patients participating in the study gave written informed consent (see Appendix A). All 100 abortions were performed by a licensed physician between March and April, 1980.

Instruments

The standardized A-State scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) was used to measure state levels of anxiety in all 100 patients. The scale was developed by Spielberger (Spielberger, Gorsuch, & Lushene, 1970) with a reliability coefficient of .92. The wording

of the directions of the scale was altered to focus attention on anxiety connected with the abortion (see Appendix B). The measure consists of 20 statements, designed on a Likerttype rating scale. The range of possible scores for the A-State form of the STAI varies from a minimum of 20 to a maximum score of 80.

The inventory has previously been used and proven reliable in other studies concerned with correlating levels of anxiety and obstetrical problems and previous abortion studies. Everett and Schechter (1971) used the STAI to distinguish whether married women who were pregnant had higher levels of anxiety than women pregnant out of wedlock. Findings indicated that women pregnant out of wedlock were significantly more stressed and had higher levels of anxiety than pregnant women who were married. Anxiety was found to significantly correlate with abnormalities in pregnancy by Gorsuch and Key (1974) by use of the STAI as a measure of anxiety. Fingerer (1973) administered the STAI to abortion patients to determine the degree of anxiety that accompanied the abortion. Findings indicated that there was no immediate anxiety after the abortion, but possibly some mild depression. Lees (1975) used the STAI to test anxiety levels in male partners who accompanied their female partners to an abortion clinic and found that the men in the sample were much more anxious than normal populations or

men hospitalized for medical or psychiatric reasons.

The A-State form of the STAI took 5-10 minutes to complete. Each patient was administered the test immediately prior to the abortion procedure.

The Abortion Attitude Scale (AAS) is a 30 item, 5-point summated rating scale developed by Snegroff (1976) designed to determine each patient's positive (in favor) or negative (against) attitude toward abortion (see Appendix C). attitude scale continuum ranges from a score of 150 (completely favorable) to a score of 30 (completely unfavorable). The scale has previously been used with a sample of undergraduate students at Brooklyn College. A reliability coefficient of 0.91 has been computed for the scale by the splithalves method (Snegroff, 1976). Content validity was achieved by compiling 300 statements that correspond to relevant content areas of the attitude system, as exemplified by the literature and by professionals in abortion and health (sex) education programs. Through a tedious process of elimination, 30 statements represent the final scale. The AAS was administered prior to surgery along with all other test instruments and took 5-10 minutes to complete.

The Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS) was administered prior to surgery. This scale assesses relationship adjustment of marital or nonmarital dyads. The scale for dyadic adjustment includes subscales which measure four conceptually

and empirically verified components: dyadic satisfaction, dyadic consensus, dyadic cohesion, and affectual expression. The final scale consists of 32 items, most of which are scored on 6-point scales, with a possible range of scores from 0-151. Content, criterion-related, and construct validity have been established by the author (Spanier, 1976). The DAS took 5-10 minutes to complete (see Appendix D).

A demographic questionnaire was administered to all patients in the study during their preabortion counseling sessions. This questionnaire contained data on age, education, marital status, religion, occupation, residence, and race. In addition, questions exploring the duration of the relationship and cohabitation were included (see Appendix E). Age and religion were correlated with the woman's abortion attitude. All remaining socio-cultural variables were used for descriptive data. A mean score for all patients was computed in reference to the duration of the relationship and cohabitation. The questionnaire took approximately five minutes to complete.

Procedure

In accordance with standard clinic procedure, patients entered by appointment, were randomly assigned to a trained abortion counselor, and were given an instructional package and medical consent form. A counselor, a woman, who conducted the counseling sessions and administered the

questionnaire was available five days per week. At the time of the private, preoperative counseling session (immediately after all forms were complete), the investigator informed the patient of the present study and asked the patient for voluntary participation. If the patient responded affirmatively, she completed the consent form to act as a subject. In addition, the patient was asked if her male partner was present or absent. There was a principal investigator and seven assistants, all trained, professional abortion counselors at the clinic, conducting the research. Assistants were briefed privately on ethical concerns of research and were instructed on administering the tests in compliance with procedure stated earlier. When the counseling session was over the patient was given the demographic guestionnaire, the A-State form of the STAI, the Abortion Attitude Scale, and the Dyadic Adjustment Scale in randomized order. Immediately following the completion of the questionnaires, the patient was taken to the lab area for a routine check of vital signs. Following this, the patient was taken to the surgery room for her abortion. Before the abortion procedure began, patients were given nitrous oxide at a flow rate of 5 or 6 liters per minute. The patients breathed free-flowing nitrous oxide which ranged from 40% - 50% oxygen. In addition, patients were injected with a synthetic narcotic, Sublimaze, which produces feelings of

euphoria and deep muscle relaxation. The effects of this fast-acting drug lasted 30 minutes. The effects of the nitrous oxide were removed by administering 100% oxygen immediately following the surgery. The entire abortion procedure took eight to ten minutes to complete. Following surgery, the patients remained resting on the operating table for 10-15 minutes. A nurse then escorted the patient to the Recovery Room. After recuperating in the recovery area and after all effects of the drug had completely worn off, approximately 20 minutes had passed. The patients were given routine post-operative instructions and any contraceptive media they desired. In addition, participating patients were given a written de-briefing (see Appendix F). Patients were then released from the clinic's care.

CHAPTER III

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the mean responses and standard deviations for the A-State scale of the STAI, the AAS, and the DAS. For the A-State scale of the STAI, the abortion patients' mean score of 43.98 was significantly higher than the norms for General Medical and Surgical patients (42.38) as reported by Spielberger, Gorsuch, and Lushene (1970). A one-sample \underline{t} test was computed to determine significance between means, \underline{t} (99) = 3.04, \underline{p} < .05.

TABLE 1

MEAN SCORES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR THE A-STATE

SCALE OF THE STAI, THE DAS, AND THE AAS

| | N | Mean | SD | Possible Range |
|---------|-----|--------|-------|----------------|
| A-State | 100 | 43.98 | 5.27 | 20 - 80 |
| DAS | 100 | 105.44 | 25.93 | 0 - 151 |
| AAS | 100 | 118.92 | 13.65 | 30 - 150 |
| | | | | |

For the Dyadic Adjustment Scale, the abortion patients' mean scale score was 105.44. Mean scores from the original sample reported by Spanier (1976) for both married and divorced persons indicated a total mean scale score of 101.5.

A one-sample \underline{t} test indicated that the means did not differ significantly, \underline{t} (99) = 1.52, \underline{p} > .05. In the original sampling conducted by Spanier, the mean score for married persons was 114.8 and divorced persons 70.7. No information was provided by Spanier on cohabitating couples.

The abortion patients' mean scale score on the Abortion Attitude Scale was 118.92. The mean scale score for the original sample of 527 undergraduate students was 116.6 as reported by Snegroff (1976). Due to unequal variances between groups, the appropriate test used to compare means was Welch's Approximation to the \underline{t} test. The means were not significantly different, \underline{t} (276) = 1.28, p > .05. The abortion patients' mean scale score of 118.92 falls almost half-way between 90 (undecided) and 150 (fully in favor) indicating a generally liberal attitude.

Table 2 displays the correlations obtained for all variables. Since the woman's anxiety, as measured by the STAI, and her perception of her satisfaction and adjustment with the male partner involved, as measured by the DAS, are both continuous variables, the Pearson Product-Moment Correlation was used to determine the measurement of relationship between the two variables. As seen in Table 2, the analysis yielded a correlation of .024. Fisher's \underline{Z} -transformation and a one-sample \underline{z} test indicated no significant difference, $\underline{Z}r = .024$ and $\underline{z} = .24$, $\underline{p} > .05$. No

TABLE 2

POINT-BISERIAL, PEARSON PRODUCT-MOMENT, AND

OMEGA SQUARED CORRELATIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE

TESTS FOR ALL VARIABLES

| VARIABLE | ANXIETY | AGE | RELIGION |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| DAS | .024 <u>z</u> =.24 NS | | |
| PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF MALE PARTNER | .115 <u>t</u> =1.15 NS | | |
| ATTITUDE | .20* <u>z</u> =2.03 | .021 <u>z</u> =.21 NS | 012 NS |

^{*}p < .05

significant relationship was noted between the woman's anxiety level and satisfaction/adjustment in the relationship with the male partner involved in the pregnancy. Women with a high degree of dyadic adjustment were not significantly more or less anxious than women with a low degree of dyadic adjustment.

The presence or absence of the male partner is a dichotomous variable; therefore, the Point-Biserial was used to correlate the male's presence or absence with the woman's anxiety level, as measured by the STAI. The correlation of .115 was not significant as tested by a one-sample \underline{t} test, \underline{t} (98) = 1.15, \underline{p} > .05. No significant relationship

was indicated between anxiety and the partner's presence or absence. The variables are unrelated.

The woman's attitude toward abortion, as measured by the AAS, is a continuous variable; therefore, the Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Coefficient was used to determine the measurement of relationship between the woman's anxiety level, as measured by the STAI, and abortion attitude. The analysis yielded a correlation of .20 which only accounts for 4% of the variance. Fisher's Z-transformation and a one-sample \underline{z} test indicated a significant correlation with $\underline{Z}r = .203$ and $\underline{z} = 2.03$, $\underline{p} < .05$. Abortion attitude does appear to be related to anxiety. Interestingly, women with more positive attitudes were significantly more anxious than women with more negative attitudes.

Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation Coefficient was used to determine if the woman's age was related to her abortion attitude. As Table 2 illustrates, the analysis yielded a correlation of .021. Fisher's \underline{Z} -transformation and a one-sample \underline{z} test indicated no significant difference, $\underline{Z}r=.021$ and $\underline{z}=.21$, $\underline{p}>.05$. The results suggest that no significant relationship exists between a woman's age and her attitude toward abortion. Younger women were no more or less likely to have positive abortion attitudes than were older woman's

The Omega Squared statistic (Hays, 1973) was used to determine the strength of the association between the woman's attitude, as measured by the AAS, and her religion. Due to 75% of the sample falling into the Protestant faith, religion was divided into three groups: Protestant, Non-Protestant, and None. The Non-Protestant (NP) group included the following religions: Catholic, B'ahai, Unitarian Universalist, Buddhist, and Non-Denominational. Table 3 shows the one-way Analysis of Variance computed between abortion attitude and religion. The correlation (-.012 as seen in Table 2) was not significant as tested by Omega Squared. Therefore, the results suggest that no significant relationship exists between an abortion patient's attitude and her religion. Protestants (P) were no more likely to have positive or negative abortion attitudes than were Non-Protestants or women with no religious designation.

TABLE 3

ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF ATTITUDE

SCORES AND RELIGION

| Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Squares | F-ratio |
|----------------|----|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Between groups | 2 | 149.1135 | 74.5568 | 0.395 |
| Within groups | 97 | 18304.2492 | 188.7036 | |
| Total | 99 | 18453.3630 | | |
| | | | | |

Table 4 displays the demographic variables (marital status, religion, income level, type of hometown, ethnic group, and highest grade of school completed) and their frequencies (percentages are the same as frequencies since n = 100). The mean age for all 100 abortion patients was 23.82, the median age was 23.25, and the most frequently occurring ages were 20, 22, and 24 with an equal number of patients in each age group. As Table 4 illustrates, the modal class for marital status was single. The modal class for religion was Protestant. The Non-Protestant (16%) breakdown consisted of Catholic (12 patients) and one patient in each of the following religions: B'ahai, Unitarian Universalists, Buddhists, and Non-Denominational. There was no modal class for income level. The annual income ranges of \$7500 - \$10,000, \$10,000 - \$12,500, and \$12,500 -\$15,000 all had equal frequencies of 15 patients per group; therefore, the majority of the sample earned between \$7500 and \$15,000 annually. The median response for income level was \$13,175. The modal class for type of hometown was a large city and for ethnic group the modal class was white which seems related to the location of the clinic. schooling, the modal class was 12th grade or GED. The median response was two years of college. All but ten of the 100 patients had completed high school, four patients had completed 10th grade, three completed 11th grade, and

27
TABLE 4
DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

| MARITAL STATUS | FREQUENCY | RELIGION FREQUENCY | AGE FREQUENCY |
|--|-----------|---------------------|---------------|
| Single | 56 | Protestant 75 | 16 1 |
| Married | 20 | Non-Prot. 16 | 17 3 |
| Divorced | 16 | None 9 | 18 7 |
| Widowed | 1 | HOMETOWN FREQUENCY | 19 7 |
| Separate | 7 | Large city 45 | 20 10 |
| INCOME LEVEL | FREQUENCY | Suburb of | 21 6 |
| Under \$5000 | 8 | large city 17 | 22 10 |
| 5000-7500 | 8 | Small city or large | 23 8 |
| 7500-10,000 | 15 | town 23 | 24 10 |
| 10,000-12,500 | 15 | Small town 11 | 25 6 |
| 12,500-15,000 | 15 | Rural 4 | 26 7 |
| 15,000-17,500 | 13 | ETHNIC FREQUENCY | 27 5 |
| 17,500-20,000 | 6 | GROUP | 28 5 |
| Over \$20,000 | 19 | White 83 | 29 3 |
| SCHOOLING | FREQUENCY | Black 15 | 30 2 |
| Under 12 | 10 | Chicano 1 | 31 3 |
| 12 or GED | 28 | Oriental 1 | 32 2 |
| 1 yr. coll. | 9 | | 33 2 |
| 2 yr. coll. | 18 | | 35 1 |
| 3 yr. coll. | 7 | | 36 1 |
| 4 yr. coll. | 12 | | 38 1 |
| 4½ yr. coll. | 1 | | |
| 5 yr. coll. | ī | | |
| 6 yr. coll. | 1 | | |
| Grad School | 7 | | |
| Vocational | 1 | | |
| College - no indication of years | 5 | | |

three were in the 12th grade.

For women whose male partners were present, the mean length of time that the women had been going with the man involved in the pregnancy was 35.08 months with a standard deviation of 38.08 as seen in Table 5. It is apparent that a wide range of scores were reported since the standard deviation is larger than the mean. As can be seen in Appendix G (total list of all variables), the range of answers to length of time gone together for women whose male partners were present started with 0 (not really going together, two women) and ended with going together up to 12 years. As for length of time lived together, 22 of the 50 women whose male partners were present did not live together. Of the 28 who did live together, the mean length of time was 33.36 months with a standard deviation of 38.28 (see Table 5). Again a wide range of responses were reported with a continuum ranging from 1 month to 12 years.

For women whose male partners were absent, the mean length of time that the women had been going with the man involved in the pregnancy was 14.12 months with a standard deviation of 18.78 as seen in Table 5. Ten of these women considered themselves not really going together by responding with 0. Again, a wide range of answers were reported varying from 0 to 6 years as can be seen in Appendix G. As for length of time lived together, 43 of the 50 women whose

TABLE 5

MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS, AND SAMPLE SIZES FOR
GOING TOGETHER AND LIVING TOGETHER COUPLES

SEPARATED BY PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF

MALE PARTNERS

| | N | Mean | SD |
|---|----|-------|-------|
| Present Male Partner/ Gone Together | 50 | 35.05 | 38.08 |
| Absent Male Partner/ Gone Together | 50 | 14.12 | 18.78 |
| Present Male Partner/ Lived Together | 28 | 33.36 | 38.28 |
| Absent Male Partner/ Lived Together | 7 | 14.71 | 13.52 |

male partners were absent did not live together. Of the seven who did, the mean length of time was 14.71 months with a standard deviation of 13.52. The seven women responded with answers ranging from one month to three years.

As tested by a one-sample \underline{t} test, women whose male partners were present had gone together significantly longer than women whose male partners were absent, \underline{t} (49) = 5.50, \underline{p} < .05. Also, women whose male partners were present had lived together significantly longer than women whose male partners were absent. Welch's Approximation to the \underline{t} test indicated a significant difference, \underline{t} (29) = 2.11, \underline{p} < .05.

Of the three women who agreed to participate in the study but did not complete the questionnaires, three different issues accounted for their reluctance. One began to answer the questions but due to an inability to understand a majority of the words decided to withdraw her responses. Another withdrew halfway through the testing and announced that she really did not have a relationship. The third individual answered one questionnaire then chose to withdraw without any willingness to explain. Approximately eight to ten patients refused to participate in the study when approached.

CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study were contrary to what had been hypothesized. The results indicated that (1) no significant relationship existed between the woman's anxiety level and satisfaction/adjustment in the relationship with the male partner involved in the pregnancy, (2) no significant relationship was found between the woman's anxiety and the partner's presence or absence, (3) women with more positive abortion attitudes were significantly more anxious than women with more negative attitudes; and (4) no significant relationships existed between the woman's abortion attitude and her age or religion. Additionally, after data was collected it was tested and discovered that for women whose male partners were present, these couples had gone together significantly longer and had lived together significantly longer than women whose male partners were absent. There are several possible explanations for why the results reported above were obtained.

First, although the anxiety scores for the 100 patients were significantly higher than norms reported for general medical and surgical patients, the difference was small (1.60) which is statistically significant, but not practically significant. In contrast, the patients' scores were

not as high as one might expect for individuals presently in an intense physical and emotional situation in which their decisions will effect the rest of their lives. The testing process was administered after an individual counseling session with a trained abortion counselor. Therefore, if the therapeutic intervention of the counselor was effective (even to a small degree), then all patients' anxiety levels would have been reduced prior to testing.

Second, when correlating abortion attitude with religion, a larger sample size might have shown significant results. As stated earlier 75% of the sample was Protestant and only 16% were Non-Protestant; therefore, justifying the division of Protestant and Non-Protestant rather than Catholic and Non-Catholic. Also, Catholics seem to be less likely to choose abortion. Perhaps a larger sample size would have obtained more diversity among religious groups; therefore, effecting abortion attitude. In addition, a self-selection process may be involved as those Protestants and Non-Protestants (including only 12 Catholics) who chose abortions as an alternative to an unplanned pregnancy would be much more likely to participate in an abortion study. Those women who would not choose abortion as an alternative are not included in this sample; therefore, a shortcoming in correlating religion with abortion attitude is noted. For this sample, overall abortion attitudes were on the liberal end of the continuum,

which is what one might have anticipated.

The timing of the data collection may have had an unexpected impact. The testing procedure was administered during a 3½ week period from the end of March through the middle of April. Coinciding with the data collection were the religious events of Lent and Easter. For Christians, particularly Catholic and Orthodox faiths, this holiday is the holiest time of the year. Women who were reared Catholic have been taught to honor this time of year and to attend services throughout Holy Week to show their respect and love for God. The awareness of Lent and the sense of family surrounding the Easter holidays may have accounted for the low number of Catholics in the study.

The results did not indicate that age was significantly related to abortion attitude. A possible reason for a lack of significant findings in regard to age could be that younger women in high school and college are now exposed to sex education on a more structured basis. Speakers on abortion and birth control are invited into the schools, youth groups, and runaway homes on a regular basis. Older women who were not officially instructed in school about birth control, child-bearing, etc. have learned about these areas through experience, media, or hearsay. Assuming that younger women have had more exposure to sex education might account for non-significant differences when correlating age and abortion

attitude because younger and older women may have similar knowledge acquired through different means.

Third, the correlation between abortion attitude and anxiety was significant in the opposite direction predicted, i.e., women with more positive attitudes toward abortion also tended to be more anxious. It has been shown empirically that an increase in knowledge about abortion is related to a change in attitudes in a more positive direction (Snegroff, 1976). This group of women was fairly well-educated, with a large majority having some college. Even though the attitudes were positive with regard to abortion, their knowledge of possible complications was probably pretty extensive. Although it is speculative, the patients' anxieties were perhaps realistic rather than mythical; therefore, resulting in higher anxiety levels. In addition, the testing procedure was conducted at the end of an individual counseling session with the patient. Patient education on abortion is a routine facet of the counseling session. It can be speculated that each patient ended the counseling session knowing more about abortion than they did when they entered the clinic. Even the most informed individual on abortion may be excessively anxious due to fear of surgical risks. Future studies might combine a pretest period on abortion knowledge, abortion attitude, and anxiety. A patient education session would follow then a posttest period on all of the variables to determine

if more knowledge of abortion has a direct relationship with anxiety.

Another possible explanation is that women who were capable of expressing more positive attitudes about abortion may also have been more open to express their anxieties.

Women with negative attitudes may have simply been less expressive individuals in the abortion counseling session.

Fourth, it was predicted that women who felt more positively about their relationship and felt as though it were a satisfying one would be more anxious because the abortion experience might have long-term implications for the relationship. In spite of the diverse relationships reported, the overall mean adjustment score for all patients was fairly high indicating a high degree of dyadic consensus, satisfaction, cohesion, and affectional expression. Women whose male partners were present appeared to be in more solid and long-standing relationships than women whose male partners were absent. The mean length of time gone together for women with present partners was 35.08 months compared to 14.12 months for women with absent male partners. A one-sample t test of present/absent male partners and length of time gone together indicated that these groups differed significantly. Also, the mean length of time lived together for women with present male partners was 33.36 months compared to 14.71 months for women with absent male partners.

Again, these results were statistically significant.

As testing proceeded it became evident that the relationship systems of the women were more complicated than originally anticipated. As reported by the patients themselves, many confounding variables were present. For example, one patient was in the midst of a divorce, was very upset, and feared for her life. She was afraid her husband would cause her bodily harm if he found out about the pregnancy. The unborn was not his. She answered the DAS in regard to the man involved in the pregnancy, but her thoughts of him were overshadowed by her fear of her hus-Another example which occurred was the case of the single (sometimes married) woman whose partner was a man married to another woman. The intensity of the relationship with the male partner involved in the pregnancy may have been positive and strong, but could never succeed. Another instance concerned the woman who was separated from her husband when conception occurred (by another man) and at the time of the abortion her husband accompanied her to the clinic as they had reconciled. The woman received all the emotional support, reassurance, empathy, and concern she needed but from her husband, not the man involved in the pregnancy. Also, there was the patient who participated in a "one-night stand" and only knew the male partner for a few short hours. In this event, there is no relationship.

There was also the patient who had reached the decision to terminate the relationship when the abortion was completed. The woman not only was mourning the fetus, but also the relationship. Another case involved the patient whose common-law husband had been in a plane crash one year ago and had become dependent upon her. Her father also had a heart attack approximately the same time. The woman had several crises operating simultaneously and her anxiety was shared among her many problems. All of the above are examples of confounding anxieties and/or confounding relationships. The women may not have considered themselves in a relationship, may have been involved in competing relationships with competing loyalties, etc. Future studies might consider primary relationships that are stable, intimate, and exclusive. Perhaps a significant relationship would exist between anxiety and relationship satisfaction if extraneous variables such as were mentioned above did not exist.

Fifth, no significant relationship was found concerning the presence of the male partner and anxiety. The results of the study did not support the prediction that women whose male partners are present tend to be more anxious due to reverberating feelings. As was stated earlier, many women prefer that their male partners not accompany them and prefer counseling sessions which do not include the male

partner. Perhaps as abortion patients sit in the waiting room they tend to focus more exclusively on the surgical procedure and their own anticipation and defocus or tune-out their partner's verbal and nonverbal behavior. In contrast, it could have been that the mere presence of the male partner was anxiety reducing for emotionally dependent patients. Informal data reported by counselors who were testing indicated that this particular group of present male partners might have been exceptionally good at the art of deception and concealing their own anxietal states so that there was no reverberation of anxious feelings. Researchers might investigate the potential for deception and concealing to determine if these factors influence the woman. From abortion counseling experience, it has been noted that the male partners sometimes try to conceal their true feelings. Lastly, it may be that the trend of increased abortion availability, awareness, and consumption has lowered the anxious disposition of the population at large. The legalization of abortion may have allowed for its increased acceptance as a safe procedure with positive outcomes.

Although the results of this study were incongruent with what was hypothesized, the support system of the woman seeking abortion is still an important variable to consider. The woman and male partner are interdependent parts which characterize the relationship. The woman cannot be viewed

as an entity separate from her support system, as this would distort the picture. The woman may feel emotional pain, but it is through the support system that these emotional needs are largely met (Foley, 1974). It is possible that the effect of the support system on the woman may not be evident in anxiety levels, but may be related to sureness of decision or personality characteristics such as selfesteem, self-worth, autonomy, or emotional dependence. Future studies might examine the influence of the support system as it relates to the woman's personality.

Although the sample was biased in favor of volunteers, the information provided is still valuable for abortion researchers and providers. Future studies of abortion might attempt to assess the couple as a whole sometime after the abortion as well as on the day of the procedure to test the extent of association between women's anxiety levels and the couple's relationship. Another study identical to the present one with the exception of testing patients before counseling rather than afterwards might show more significant results. It would be helpful to test patients at several local clinics which use different types of anesthesia or no anesthesia to determine if anxiety is related to the idea of receiving some type of pain controlling medication. Perhaps patients who know they will be receiving anesthesia are less anxious overall than those patients

receiving little or no anesthesia.

A study might be generated by observing women who choose abortion clinics and women who choose to go to their private gynecologists for abortions. Finances may be a big determinant in this choice and perhaps personality characteristics enter into the decision. In other words, this choice is possibly related to personality which in turn may be related to anxiety levels. Also, it would be possible to determine if the unmarried woman is more likely to abort than the married woman. Regardless of whether the relationship is stable or satisfying, is being married enough to eliminate the option of abortion for some women? Researchers might interview women in health clinics and referral agencies such as Life Planning at the time of confirmation of pregnancy to establish the percent of married and nonmarried women who choose abortion. At the same time when pregnancy is confirmed, it is likely that this time marks the beginning of the decision-making process. Researchers might consider this time to observe and measure personality characteristics and anxiety levels in potential abortion patients and compare these scores to those obtained on the day of the abortion (for those women who choose abortion). Abortion providers might utilize this time of pregnancy confirmation to help the couples through the decision-making process. Lastly, an effort should be made to contact and study a

sample of couples who do not spontaneously come to the abortion clinic together. A comparison between this sample and a sample of couples who come to the clinic together may be more definitive in areas of relationship dynamics, support systems, anxiety, and abortion attitudes.

Reference Note

1. Boyd, G. H. Personal communication, February 4, 1980.

References

- Adler, N. E. Emotional responses of women following therapeutic abortion. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1975, 45, 446-454.
- Blake, J. Abortion and public opinion: The 1960-1970 decade. Science, 1971, 171, 540-548.
- Bracken, M. B., Hachamovitch, M., & Grossman, G. The decision to abort and psychological sequelae. <u>Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease</u>, 1974, 158, 154-162.
- Brashear, D. B. Abortion counseling. The Family Coordinator, 1973, 22, 429-435.
- Burkle, F. M., Jr. A developmental approach to postabortion depression. The Practitioner, 1977, 2, 3-11.
- Caplan, G. <u>Principles of preventive psychiatry</u>. New York:
 Basic Books, Inc., 1963.
- Cotroneo, M., & Krasner, B. R. A study of abortion and problems in decision-making. <u>Journal of Marriage and Family Counseling</u>, 1977, 3, 69-76.
- Digest. Many teenagers and their parents talk together about contraception and decisions on abortion. Family Planning Perspectives, 1978, 10, 298-300.
- Everett, R. B., & Schechter, M. D. A comparative study of prenatal anxiety in the unwed mother. Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 1971, 27, 40-42.

- Fingerer, M. E. Psychological sequelae of abortion: Anxiety and depression. <u>Journal of Community Psychology</u>, 1973, 1, 221-225.
- Foley, V. D. <u>An introduction to family therapy</u>. New York:

 Grune & Stratton, 1974.
- Freeman, E. W. Abortion: Subjective attitudes and feelings. Family Planning Perspectives, 1978, 10, 150-155.
- Gordon, R. H. Efficacy of a group crisis-counseling program for men who accompany women seeking abortions. American Journal of Community Psychology, 1978, 6, 239-246.
- Gorsuch, R. L., & Key, M. K. Abnormalities of pregnancy as a function of anxiety and life stress. <u>Psychosomatic Medicine</u>, 1974, <u>36</u>, 352-362.
- Graham, L. E., & Conley, E. M. Evaluation of anxiety and fear in adult surgical patients. <u>Nursing Research</u>, 1971, 20, 113-122.
- Hamrick, M. H., Dollar, M. B., Scruggs, S. D., & Wardlow, W. D. Abortion: The viewpoint of potential consumers.
 <u>Journal of American College Health Association</u>, 1977,
 <u>26</u>, 136-139.
- Hays, W. L. <u>Statistics for the social sciences</u> (2nd ed.).

 New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, Inc., 1973.
- Heineman, R. K. The evolution of an abortion counseling service. Child Welfare, 1973, 52, 258-260.

- Lees, R. B. Men and the abortion experience: Anxiety and social supports (Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, 1975). <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 1975, <u>36</u>, 3051-B. (University Microfilms No. 75-29, 277)
- Maxwell, J. W. College students' attitudes toward abortion.

 The Family Coordinator, 1970, 19, 247-252.
- Newman, S. H. Abortion: Needed behavioral research. In

 H. J. Osofsky & J. D. Osofsky (Eds.). The abortion

 experience. Hagerstown, Maryland: Harper & Row, 1973.
- Rothstein, A. A. Men's reactions to their partners' elective abortions. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1977, 128, 831-837.
- Satir, V. Conjoint family therapy. Palo Alto, California:
 Science and Behavior Books, 1967.
- Shainess, N. Abortion: Social, psychiatric, and psychoanalytic perspectives. <u>New York State Journal of</u> <u>Medicine</u>, 1968, 68, 3070-3073.
- Snegroff, S. A development of instruments to measure attitudes toward abortion and knowledge of abortion. The
 Journal of School Health, 1976, 46, 273-277.
- Spanier, G. B. Measuring dyadic adjustment: New scales for assessing the quality of marriage and similar dyads.

 <u>Journal of Marriage and the Family</u>, 1976, <u>38</u>, 15-28.

- Spielberger, C., Gorsuch, R., & Lushene, R. <u>STAI manual</u>.

 Palo Alto, California: Consulting Psychologists Press,
 Inc., 1970.
- Wallerstein, J. S., Kurtz, A., & Bar-Din, M. Psychological sequelae of therapeutic abortion in young unmarried women. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1972, 27, 828-832.
- Walter, G. S. Psychologic and emotional consequences of elective abortions. <u>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</u>, 1970, 36, 482-487.
- Williams, J. G., Jones, R. J., Workhoven, M. N., & Williams,

 B. The psychological control of preoperative anxiety.

 Journal of Psychophysiology, 1975, 12, 50-54.



APPENDIX A INFORMED CONSENT FORM

Our clinic is conducting a study to improve the focus of our counseling process and to provide broadened services. We would greatly appreciate your help. If you agree to help us, you will need to fill out some opinion questionnaires today.

There are no right or wrong answers. All we want to know is your honest opinion about each question.

Your responses are for statistical purposes and will be kept strictly confidential.

| I agree to participat | te in the study and | give my consent fe | or the |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| clinic to use the data I p | provide. I underst | and that my respon | ses will |
| be kept strictly confident | tial. I understand | that it will invo | lve the |
| completion of questionnain | res and that I may | withdraw my data a | t any time. |
| The investigation has been | n explained to me a | ınd I hereby author | ize |
| to admin | nister the investig | gation. I understa | nd that my |
| participation in this inve | estigation will be | a contribution to | the inaugu- |
| ration of better services | offered to abortic | on patients. I fur | ther under- |
| stand that no medical serv | vice or compensatio | on is provided to s | ubjects by |
| the university with which | this study is affi | liated as a result | of injury |
| from participation in rese | earch. An offer to | answer all my que | stions has |
| been made. | | | |
| | | | |
| Subject's Signature | Date | Witness | |
| If you are a minor, please | e have your parent | or legal guardien | sign below. |
| Signature of Parent | Date | Witness | |

or Legal Guardian

APPENDIX B

A-STATE SCALE OF THE STAI

| DIRECTIONS: A number of have used to describe to Read each statement and | DATE: | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|--------|
| appropriate circle to to indicate how you fee of the abortion. There answers. Do not spend statement but give the describe your feelings | NOT AT ALL | SOMEWIAT | MODERATELY SO | VERY MUCH SO | |
| 1. I feel calm | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2. I feel secure | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 3. I am tense | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (t+) |
| 4. I am regretful | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 5. I feel at ease | | (1) | (2) | (ε) | (4) |
| 6. I feel upset | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 7. I am presently worry | ying over possible misfortunes | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 8. I feel rested | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 9. I feel anxious | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 10. I feel comfortable- | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 11. I feel self-confider | nt | (1) | (2) | (3) | (ų) |
| 12. I feel nervous | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 13. I am jittery | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 14. I feel "high strung" | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (14) |
| 15. I am relaxed | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 16. I feel content | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 17. I am worried | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 18. I feel over-excited | and "rattled" | (1) | (2) | (3) | (t;) |
| 19. I feel joyful | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+) |
| | | | | | |

APPENDIX C
ABORTION ATTITUDE SCALE

DIRECTIONS: Read each statement. You are to place an X through the response that most closely corresponds to your own feelings about each statement. There are no correct or incorrect answers. Be sure to respond with your own feelings, and not as to how someone else would expect or want you to answer. Indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree according to the following scale.

SA - strongly agree, A - agree, U - undecided, D - disagree, SD - strongly disagree

| 1. Abortion penalizes the unborn for the mother's mistake. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
|---|----|---|---|---|----|
| 2. Abortion places human life at a very low point on a scale of values. | SA | Α | U | D | SD |
| 3. A woman's desire to have an abortion should be considered sufficient reason to do so. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| I approve of the legalization of abortion so that a woman can obtain one with proper medical attention. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 5. Abortion ought to be prohibited because it is an unnatural act. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| Having an abortion is not something that one should be ashamed of. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 7. Abortion is a threat to our society. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 8. Abortion is the destruction of one life to serve the convenience of another. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 9. A woman should have no regrets if she eliminates the burden of an unwanted child with an abortion. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 10. The unborn should be legally protected against abortion since it cannot protect itself. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 11. Abortion should be an alternative when there is contraceptive failure. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 12. Abortions should be allowed since the unborn is only a potential human being and not an actual human being. | SA | Α | U | D | SD |
| 13. Any person that has an abortion is probably selfish and unconcerned about others. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 14. Abortion should be available as a method of improving community socioeconomic conditions. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 15. Many more people would favor abortion if they knew more about it. | SA | А | U | D | SD |

| 16. | A woman should have an illegitimate child rather than an abortion. | SA | Α | U | D | SD |
|-----|--|----|---|---|---|----|
| 17. | Liberalization of abortion laws should be viewed as a positive step. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 18. | Abortion should be illegal, for the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution holds that no state shall "deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law." | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 19. | The unborn should never be aborted no matter how detrimental the possible effects on the family. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 20. | The social evils involved in forcing a pregnant woman to have a child are worse than any evils in destroying the unborn. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 21. | Decency forbids having an abortion. | SA | Α | U | D | SD |
| 22. | A pregnancy that is not wanted and not planned for should not be considered a pregnancy but merely a condition for which there is a medical cure, abortion. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 23. | Abortion is the equivalent of murder. | SA | Α | U | D | SD |
| 24. | Easily accessible abortions will probably cause people to become unconcerned and careless with their contraceptive practices. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 25. | Abortion ought to be considered a legitimate health measure. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 26. | The unborn ought to have the same rights as the potential mother. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 27. | Any outlawing of abortion is oppressive to women. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 28. | Abortion should be accepted as a method of population control. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 29. | Abortion violates the fundamental right to life. | SA | А | U | D | SD |
| 30. | If a woman feels that a child might ruin her life she should have an abortion. | SA | А | U | D | SD |

APPENDIX D DYADIC ADJUSTMENT SCALE

DIRECTIONS: Most persons have disagreements in their relationships. Please indicate below the approximate extent of agreement or disagreement between you and your partner for each item on the following list.

| | Always | Almost Always | Occa- | Fre- quently | Almost Always | Always Dis- |
|--|--------|------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Agree | Agree | Disagree | | • | agree |
| 1. Handling family (mutual) finance | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2. Matters of recreation | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 3. Religious matters | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | O |
| 4. Demonstrations of affection | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 5. Friends | 5 | 44 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 6. Sex relations | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | O |
| 7. Conventionality (correct or proper behavior) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 00 |
| 8. Philosophy of life | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 9. Ways of dealing with parents or in-laws | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 10. Aims, goals, and things believed important | l 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 00 |
| 11. Amount of time spent together | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 12. Making major decisions | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 13. Household tasks | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 14. Leisure time interests and activities | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | | | | |
| 15. Career decisions | 55 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

| | | All the <u>time</u> | Most of the time | More often than not | Occa- sionally | Rarely | Never |
|-----|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|
| 16. | How often do you discuss or have you considered divorce, separation, or terminating your relationship? | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. | How often do you or your mate leave the house (one another) after a fight? | 0_ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. | In general, how often do you think that things between you and your partner are going well? | n 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 00 |
| 19. | Do you confide in your mate? | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 20. | Do you ever regret that you married or lived together or started a relation- ship? | 0_ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. | How often do you and your partner quarrel? | 2_0_ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 22. | How often do you and your mate "get on each other's | | | | | | |
| | nerves?" | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 44 | 5 |
| | | Every | Day Ev | | occa- sionally R | arely 1 | Vever |
| 23. | Do you kiss your mate? | 4 | | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | | All o | f Most | of Same | _ | | None of them |
| 24. | Do you and your mate engage in outside interests together? | 4_ | 3 | 2 |) | 1 | 0 |

How often would you say the following events occur between you and your mate?

| | | <u>Never</u> | Less than once a month | Once or twice a month | Once or twice a week | Once a | More often |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| 25. | Have a stimu- lating exchange of ideas? | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 26. | Laugh together | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 27. | Calmly discuss something | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 28. | Work together or a project | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 55 |
| disa or v | se are some thing agree. Indicate were problems in or no) | if eit | her item be | low cause | d differe | nces of | opinions |
| 29. | <u>Yes No</u> Bei | ng too | tired for | sex. | | | |
| 30. | <u>Yes No</u> Not | showing | ng love. | | | | |
| | The dots on the piness in your r the degree of ha dot which best d sidered, of your | elation ppiness escribe relati | nship. The s of most re es the degre ionship. | middle px elationsh: ee of happ | oint "happ ips. Plea piness, al | py" repro ase circl ll things | esents le the s con- |
| | 0 1 | | . | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Extremely Fairl Unhappy Unhap | y A py <u>Ur</u> | | | ery Ext appy Hap | tremely ppy | Perfect |
| | Which of the fol the future of yo | | | best desc | cribes how | v you fee | el about |
| | go to almo I want ver all I can I want ver my fair sh It would be much more It would be than I am of My relation | st any y much to see y much are to e nice than I e nice doing n nship c | y for my related that it does for my related that it does for my related that it if my related my doing not it successor to keep an never successor relations | see that in ationship es. ationship does. does. does, but to help eeded, but the relat | to succeeded, o it succeeded, it succeeded, it succeeded, it is to be it is to | ed, and wed, and wed, and wed. but I deed. to do a going. | will do will do can't do cany more |

APPENDIX E DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please remember that your answers are <u>completely confidential</u>. The whole success of a study like this depends on your answering these questions as carefully as you can. Your answers are important.

Most questions can be answered by checking (\checkmark) one of the answers. If you do not find the exact answer that fits your case, check the one that comes closest to it, or write in your own answer.

Please feel free to write in any explanation or comments you may have right on the questionnaire.

If you have difficulty with any words or questions, please feel free to ask.

Questionnaire

| 1. | Your Age: |
|----|--|
| 2. | Highest grade of school completed: |
| J. | Married Separated Divorced |
| 4. | What religion are you? Protestant Other (Please specify:) Catholic None (If none, what religion were you raised in? Jewish) |
| 5. | Please mark your yearly income level (or your family's if you're a student or if you are living at home): |
| 6. | Type of city or town you live in: Large city (400,000 or more)Small townSuburb of large cityRuralSmall city or large town |
| 7. | What ethnic group are you a member of? White (Caucasian) |
| | following are some questions about you and your male partner olved in this pregnancy. |
| 8. | How long have you gone together? (Be as specific as you can; put a "0" if you're not really going together): |
| 9. | Do you two share a living space (House, apartment, etc?) Yes No If yes, how long have you lived together: |

APPENDIX F

DE-BRIEFING

You have just participated in a study exploring anxiety in abortion patients. We are wondering if the people you are close to seem to be a help in times of stress. We wonder if couples tend to be anxious together. We also wonder if the abortion has put a strain on your relationship with the male partner involved and whether this strain tends to strengthen or weaken your relationship. We also are wondering if you feel more anxious about the abortion because you personally do not favor abortion, or does your attitude toward abortion make a difference in how anxious you are? Your participation in this study will help clarify many of these thoughts. more information we obtain about women seeking abortion, the better able we will be to provide improved services for abortion patients and their partners. Once again, your responses are strictly for statistical purposes and no names will be used. We appreciate your help and assistance. If you are interested in the results of this study, you may phone the clinic at 742-9310 in June, 1980 for a brief description of the findings.

APPENDIX G TOTAL LIST OF ALL VARIABLES

| 6 |
|---|
| 5 |

| SUBJECT | MARITAL STATUS | INCOME LEVEL | HOME- | ETHNIC GROUP | SCHOOLING | GONE TOGETHER | LIVED TOGETHER |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | M S M SE M | 17.5-20 5-7.5 12.5-15 7.5-10 10-12.5 | LC SUB SUB SMT LC | W W W W W | 12 2 12 11th 10 | 6.5 yrs. 4 yrs. 5 yrs. 6 yrs. 1 yr. | 6.5 yrs. 1 yr. 5 yrs. No 1 yr. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | S S S S S S | 7.5-10 U 5 7.5-10 7.5-10 15-17.5 | SMC SUB SMT R LC | W W B B | 3 12 10 2 10 | 8 mos. 37 mos. 6 yrs. 1 yr. 1.5 yrs. | No 2 mos. No No No |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | D D S S D | 7.5-10 U 5 7.5-10 15-17.5 17.5-20 | SUB LC LC SUB LC | W W W W | 12 Grad 2 2 Grad | 0 13 mos. 6 mos. 2.5 yrs. | No 1 mo. No No No |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | M S S S | 10-12.5 5-7.5 12.5-15 U 5 O 20 | LC SMC SUB SUB SMC | W W W B | 12 2 3 10 3 | 6 yrs. 3 mos. 3 mos. 4.5 yrs. 6 mos. | 4 yrs. No 2 mos. No No |
| 21 22 23 24 25 | S M SE D S | 5-7.5 10-12.5 12.5-15 0 20 U 5 | SMT LC SUB SUB LC | W W W W | 12 10th 4 2 10th | 4 mos. 0 2 mos. 0 7 mos. | No No No No 1 mo. |
| 26 27 28 29 30 | S D D M | O 20 U 5 U 5 15-17.5 10-12.5 | SMT LC SMT LC SMC | W W W W | 10 12 11th 4 2 | 3.5 yrs. 7 mos. 5 mos. 6 mos. 8 yrs. | No No 4 mos. No 2 yrs. |

| SUBJECT | MARITAL STATUS | INCOME LEVEL | HOME- TOWN | ETHNIC GROUP | SCHOOLING | GONE TOGETHER | LIVED TOGETHER |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 31 32 33 34 35 | S S S D | 17.5-20 15-17.5 O 20 12.5-15 12.5-15 | SMC LC SMT LC LC | W W W B | 10th 4 11th 2 1 | 8 mos. 6 mos. 1 yr. 1 yr. 5 yrs. | No No No No |
| 36 37 38 39 40 | M S SE S | 17.5-20 0 20 15-17.5 7.5-10 10-12.5 | SMC SMC SMC LC LC | W W W W | 3 12th 12 12th 12 | 10 yrs. 0 0 1 yr. 4 mos. | 9.5 yrs. No No 4 mos. 4 mos. |
| 41 42 43 44 45 | M S S S S | 12.5-15 O 20 5-7.5 10-12.5 12.5-15 | SMC SMC SMC LC SUB | W W W W | 2 4 12 1 12 | 5 yrs. 7 mos. 9 mos. 3 mos. | 4 yrs. No No No No |
| 46 47 48 49 50 | M S S M SE | 17.5-20 12.5-15 10-12.5 0 20 7.5-10 | SMC LC LC SMT LC | W W W W | 1 12 12 Grad 12 | 1 yr. 0 0 4 yrs. 6 mos. | 4 mos. No No 3.5 yrs. |
| 51 52 53 54 55 | D S M S D | 12.5-15 12.5-15 0 20 12.5-15 5-7.5 | LC LC SUB LC SMC | B W W W | V 3 12 5 2 | 1 mo. 5 mos. 3 yrs. 1.5 yrs. 6 mos. | No No 3 yrs. 3 mos. |
| 56 57 58 59 60 | S M S S M | 15-17.5 O 20 15-17.5 U 5 O 20 | LC LC LC SMT LC | W C W B W | 12th 12 1 12 4 | 1 yr. 10 yrs. 9 mos. 2 mos. 12 yrs. | No 9 yrs. 6 mos. No 12 yrs. |

| 6 | |
|---|--|
| 7 | |

| SUBJECT | MARITAL STATUS | INCOME LEVEL | HOME- TOWN | ETHNIC GROUP | SCHOOLING | GONE TOGETHER | LIVED TOGETHER |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 61 62 63 64 | M M S S | 15-17.5 15-17.5 7.5-10 0 20 | SMC SMT LC LC | W W W | 2 1 12 4 | 7 yrs. 15 mos. 1 yr. 6 mos. | 6.5 yrs. No 8 mos. No |
| 65 66 67 68 69 70 | S SE W S S D | 10-12.5 0 20 0 20 5-7.5 7.50-10 0 20 | LC SUB LC R LC SUB | W W W B | 2 12 2 6 1 3 | 1 yr. 14 mos. 1.5 yrs. 3 yrs. 0 8 mos. | 2 mos. No No 3 yrs. No No |
| 71 72 73 | S D D | 10-12.5 10-12.5 12.5-15 | SUB LC R | B B W | 1 2 Grad | 4 mos. 10 mos. 9 mos. | No No No |
| 74 75 | S D | 7.5-10 7.5-10 | LC SMC | W W | 1 2 | 7.5 yrs. 2 yrs. | No 2 yrs. |
| 76 77 78 79 80 | S M S S | 10-12.5 10-12.5 5-7.5 0 20 15-17.5 | SMC LC LC LC R | W B W W | 10th 4 Grad 4.5 3 | 8 mos. 0 2 yrs. 6 mos. 12 yrs. | 8 mos. No 10 mos. No No |
| 81 82 83 84 85 | M S M S SE | 15-17.5 7.5-10 15-17.5 5-7.5 15-17.5 | SMC SMT SMC SMC LC | W W W W | 12 Grad 4 1 12 | 4 yrs. 3 yrs. 2 yrs. 1.5 yrs. 8 mos. | 3 yrs. 1.5 yrs. 1.5 yrs. No |
| 36 87 88 89 90 | S S M S M | 17.5-20 O 20 12.5-15 U 5 O 20 | SMC SUB SUB SMC SUB | B W W W | 4 12 12 2 4 | 7 mos. 3 yrs. 4 yrs. 3 mos. 4 yrs. | No No 3.5 yrs. No 2 yrs. |

SUBJECT MARITAL INCOME HOME-ETHNIC SCHOOLING GONE LIVED LEVEL TOWN GROUP TOGETHER STATUS TOGETHER 91 S 10 - 12.52 4 vrs. LC0 No 92 S 12.5 - 15LC В Grad 10 mos. No 93 7.5 - 102 D LCВ 3 vrs. 2 yrs. S 0 20 LCW 12 94 7 mos. No 95 S 10 - 12.5SMC 4 6 mos. W No 96 S 7.50 - 10SMT 12 3 yrs. W No 97 D 0 20 SMC 12 2 yrs. W No 98 S 12.5 - 15LC4 W 2 yrs. No 99 D 7.5 - 10LCW 12 1 vr. No S 100 10 - 12.5LCW 12 No

KEY

Marital Status - S = Single, M = Married, D = Divorced, W = Widowed, SE = Separated

Income Level - U 5 = Under \$5000, 5-7.5 = \$5000-\$7500, 7.5-10 = \$7500-\$10,000, 10-12.5 = \$10,000-\$12,500, 12.5-17 = \$12,500-\$17,000, 17.5-20 = \$17,500-\$20,000, 0 20 = 0 Over \$20,000

Ethnic Group - W = White, B = Black, C = Chicano, O = Oriental

Schooling - 10th = Completed 10th grade, 11th = Completed 11th grade,

12th = In 12th grade, 12 = 12th or GED, 1 = 1 year college,

2 = 2 years college, 3 = 3 years college, 4 = 4 years college,

4.5 = 4½ years college, 5 = 5 years college, 6 = 6 years college,

10 = College years unknown, V = Vocational, Grad = Graduate School

| SUBJECT | PARTNER | AAS | A-STATE | DAS | AGE | RELIGION | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | P P A A P | 131 114 131 122 89 | 50 46 38 54 40 | 118 136 102 107 112 | 28 20 22 23 21 | P P P P NP | |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | A P A P A | 101 127 113 114 97 | 31 41 43 48 45 | 122 129 46 123 125 | 20 18 25 19 20 | P P P P | |
| 11 12 13 14 15 | A P A A | 117 131 131 93 121 | 46 43 43 40 42 | 35 120 133 116 82 | 30 29 23 28 38 | None None P P P | 69 |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | P P A A P | 121 117 140 132 130 | 39 41 52 54 43 | 123 126 87 127 129 | 22 20 24 22 20 | P P NP P P | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 | A P A A | 126 105 111 111 134 | 53 42 42 46 31 | 28 107 109 121 121 | 20 29 23 22 19 | NP None P P P | |
| 26 27 28 29 30 | A P A A P | 113 101 119 109 129 | 51 45 42 39 46 | 90 45 80 106 102 | 19 18 26 32 24 | P P None NP P | |

| SUBJECT | PARTNER | AAS | A-STATE | DAS | AGE | RELIGION | |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----|
| 31 32 33 34 35 | A A A A | 105 109 126 110 83 | 40 45 45 38 41 | 113 113 124 101 31 | 16 23 17 26 31 | P None P P P | |
| 36 37 | P A | 112 130 | 46 40 | 110 99 | 27 17 | NP P | |
| 38 39 40 | A P P | 113 114 119 | 4 2 4 2 4 8 | 99 147 134 | 25 20 20 | P NP P | |
| 41 42 43 44 | P P A A | 121 115 122 127 | 35 45 42 48 | 109 128 123 97 | 26 21 21 18 | Р Р Р | 7 |
| 45 46 | A P | 127 111 | 47 42 | 78 128 | 18 21 | P | 70 |
| 47 48 49 50 | A P P | 111 119 130 134 132 | 37 44 46 39 | 98 119 121 99 | 19 17 30 28 | NP None P P P | |
| 51 52 | P P | 133 107 | 5 4 4 0 | 115 123 | 24 24 | P NP | |
| 53 54 55 | P P P | 118 137 139 | 5 2 4 7 4 6 | 99 118 101 | 21 24 22 | NP None P | |
| 56 57 58 59 | A P P A | 121 104 146 98 | 41 45 52 45 | 79 96 121 96 | 19 23 22 20 | P NP NP | |
| 60 | P | 103 | 4 4 | 98 | 33 | P | |

| - | _ 1 |
|---|-----|
| | _ |
| | - 1 |

| SUBJECT | PARTNER | AAS | A-STATE | DAS | AGE | RELIGION |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 61 62 63 64 | P P P A | 111 112 141 130 | 48 44 54 43 | 111 112 124 112 | 24 18 19 31 | NP P None P |
| 65 66 67 68 | P A P P | 132 123 98 124 | 40 42 40 43 | 111 75 126 108 | 23 28 36 28 | P P P NP |
| 69 70 71 | A A A | 93 139 103 | 44 38 39 | 63 121 48 | 26 31 27 | P P |
| 72 73 74 75 | A A P A | 110 134 86 125 | 46 42 51 44 | 119 80 101 127 | 27 33 22 26 | P NP P P |
| 76 77 78 79 80 | P A P P P | 134 98 128 127 122 | 54 37 45 42 53 | 132 55 124 123 137 | 25 26 27 29 21 | NP P P P |
| 81 82 83 84 | A P P P | 116 113 115 126 | 39 51 41 43 | 110 110 123 105 | 22 25 27 25 | P P P |
| 85 86 87 88 89 90 | A P P P A P | 142 95 118 121 120 122 | 47 46 34 56 45 36 | 92 134 136 102 94 113 | 25 22 18 24 20 24 | NP NP P P P |

| SUBJECT | PARTNER | AAS | A-STATE | DAS | AGE | RELIGION |
|---------|---------|-----|---------|-----|-----|----------|
| 91 | P | 98 | 37 | 103 | 19 | P |
| 92 | A | 130 | 40 | 129 | 22 | P |
| 93 | A | 115 | 55 | 124 | 24 | P |
| 94 | А | 116 | 49 | 96 | 18 | P |
| 95 | A | 144 | 41 | 116 | 32 | P |
| 96 | A | 116 | 43 | 93 | 23 | P |
| 97 | P | 126 | 39 | 111 | 35 | P |
| 98 | P | 127 | 4 1 | 108 | 26 | None |
| 99 | A | 140 | 51 | 108 | 24 | P |
| 100 | A | 127 | 41 | 004 | 23 | P |

KEY

Partner - P = Present, A = Absent

Religion - P = Protestant, NP = Non-Protestant, None = No Religious Designation

72