<u>ART AS IT RELATES TO</u> HOMEMAKING

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN HOME ECONOMICS EDUCATION IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF THE TEXAS WOMAN'S UNIVERSITY

> COLLEGE OF HOUSEHOLD ARTS AND SCIENCES

> > ΒY

MARTHA DEE THOMPSON, B. S.

DENTON, TEXAS AUGUST, 1968

Texas Woman's University

Denton, Texas

August 17 19 68

We hereby rec	comme	nd that	the	the	sts		prepared under
our supervision	ıby -	Ma	rtha	Dee	Thor	npson	
entitled	ART	AS I	r re	LATES	то	НОМЕМАК	ING
		·					

be accepted as fulfilling this part of the requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

Committee:

kuo 0 Chairman 1

Accepted Dean of Graduate Studies

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express sincere appreciation to the following persons for assistance in the completion of this study:

Dr. Jessie W. Bateman, Dean of the College of Household Arts and Sciences, for guidance and assistance in the study;

The director of her study, Dr. Bernadine Johnson, Assistant Professor of Home Economics Education, for professional assistance throughout the study;

Dr. Dora R. Tyer, Professor of Child Development and Family Living, for guidance in the study;

Dr. Virginia B. Sloan, Professor of Family Economics, for assistance in the study;

Dr. Wilma A. Brown, Professor of Foods and Nutrition, for assistance in the data analysis;

Mr. Delton Stilley, Superintendent of Nocona Schools, and Mr. Marvin Frank, Principal of Nocona High School, for their cooperation, and also members of the Nocona School Board for their encouragement; Alva B. Copeland, retired Guidance Counselor, Nocona High School, for encouragement and assistance in collecting data;

Irene Hawthorne, Counselor, Nocona High School, for assistance in collecting data;

Gloria Phillips, homemaking teacher, Saint Jo High School, for participating in the study;

Donna Guckian, homemaking teacher, Prairie Valley High School, Route 3, Nocona, Texas, for participating in the study;

The 50 Homemaking II and Homemaking III students of Nocona High School, Saint Jo High School, and Prairie Valley High School who participated in the study;

Her husband, Richard, for patience and cooperation throughout the study.

i۷

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter

	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
	LIST OF TABLES	vi
	LIST OF FIGURES	ix
Ι	INTRODUCTION	
	Terminology	3 3
ΙI	PROCEDURE	10
	Instruments	10 10
	Graves' Design Judgment Test	12 14 14 15
III	ANALYSIS, FINDINGS, AND DISCUSSION	17
	Kuder Preference Record, Form CH Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Graves' Design Judgment Test Case Studies	17 18 23 47
IV	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	49
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	53

<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>

Τa	۱b	1	e
----	----	---	---

I	COMPARISON OF RAW SCORES OF 50 HOMEMAKING STUDENTS ON <u>KUDER PREFERENCE RECORD</u> , <u>FORM</u> <u>CH</u> TEST AND RETEST	19
ΙI	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA I WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> JUDGMENT TEST	24
III	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA I WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	25
IV	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA II WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT TEST</u>	26
V	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA II WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	27
VI	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> CLASSIFICATION TESTS, AREA III WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	28
VII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA III WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	29
VIII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA IV WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	30

Table

ΙX	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON CLASSIFICATION TESTS, AREA RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST</u>	
Х	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA V WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST</u>	32
ΧI	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA V WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST</u>	33
XII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA VI WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	34
XIII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA VI WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	35
XIV	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA VII WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	36
XV	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA VII WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	37
XVI	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA VIII WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> JUDGMENT <u>TEST</u>	38
XVII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> CLASSIFICATION TESTS, AREA VIII WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	39

Table

XVIII	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA I RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u>	
XIX	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA IX WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST</u>	41
XX	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA X WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT</u> TEST	42
XXI	COMPARISON OF PERCENTILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON <u>FLANAGAN APTITUDE</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u> , AREA X WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST</u>	43
XXII	COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' RAW SCORES ON PRE- TEST AND RETEST ON GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u> <u>JUDGMENT</u> <u>TEST</u> .	45

FIGURES

Figure

Page

1	Percentage of 12 Top Ranking Students With Percentile Ranks Above or Below the Fiftieth Percentile Rank in 10 Areas on the <u>Flanagan</u> <u>Aptitude</u> <u>Classification Tests</u>	21
2	Percentage of 12 Low Ranking Students With Percentile Ranks Above or Below the Fiftieth Percentile Rank in 10 Areas on the <u>Flanagan</u> <u>Aptitude</u> <u>Classification</u> <u>Tests</u>	22
3	Polygons Comparing Pre-test and Retest of Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> for 50 Home- making Students	46

PTER I

INTRODUCTION

"The home economist can be a creative force in the classroom. No other academic area offers as much potential for artistic expression as does home economics." Thus Dommelen (7) has emphasized the importance of the art in homemaking. Homemaking teachers have the responsibility of teaching students to develop artistic principles in homemaking.

Dommelen (7) further stated that to develop any program without using the contributions in the related art department is the same as preparing an unfinished curriculum. Related arts are concerned with all areas of study in home economics; therefore, home economics departments should be staffed with teachers with training in the field of art in order to prevent weak programs.

Goldstein (13) declared that art is a part of the objects seen and used everyday. An outstanding need of the consumer is to gain an understanding of the principles fundamental to good taste.

t tions n building a related art program. Firs, t er should be conscious of class situations that affor ities to discuss and use related art principles e ond, the teacher should bring art principles forcibly to the minds of the students by good, positive teaching, and third, the teacher should collect and use materials which demonstrate art principles.

O'Donnell (25) suggested that art is an inner spring of creativity and is involved in home economics at all levels. The art of creativity is found in many places, in college, in family life, in childhood, and in adulthood.

Art in home economics is of special interest to many teachers of homemaking courses. The writer hopes to find by objective methods the relation of art attitudes and aptitudes to home economics.

The specific purposes of the study will be to:

- determine interest differences in students after a year's work in the homemaking program;
- compare various scores of high natural ability individuals and of low natural ability individuals; and to
- determine artistic aptitude differences in students after a year's work in the homemaking program.

Tes were sed me a for determining the importance of art in homemaking; therefore, certain general terms need to be defined. Three important terms as used in this study are:

Art: the ability to create objects of beauty.

<u>Aptitude</u>: the person's ability or talent which can be developed through training. An aptitude test reveals an individual's ability to learn and to perform skills in the future. A person taking these tests later would probably score about the same according to the <u>Student's Booklet</u> of the <u>Flanagan Aptitude</u> <u>Classification</u> Tests (11).

<u>Interest</u>: preferences show an individual's liking for certain activities. Preferences help to identify promising occupations, but they must be supplemented with some ability.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The review of literature is concerned with the examination of data related to the importance of art interest and art ability in home economics; measurement of art, both statistically and visually; artistic aptitude in home economics; evaluation of art in home economics; and the importance of developing art awareness in home economics.

Bonsett (2) stressed that art interest was aroused by arranging bulletin boards on art reproductions. Lettering was done by students who volunteered to help with the bulle n a r class was stimulated when students d e letteri Activities of the students revealed n ceable artistic characteristics.

Meier (21) contended that a person usually shows an interest in doing work or activities requiring good eye-hand coordination very early in life. Individuals with artistic aptitude are usually particular and have interest and pride in doing things well.

Barton (1) pointed out that through art a child is given an opportunity for discovery as a capable, creative person. The pupil's growth through art may be expressed by the increased ability to think and plan independently, increased insight, understanding and tolerance of others' ideas and expressions, development of imaginative ways to express ideas, and continuous growth in attitudes and skills.

Bumpass (4) revealed from the data in comparing retarded children and regular classroom students that there was considerable similarity between the art abilities of this sample. Some of the regular classroom students produced art work below their specific age level.

Shipman (27) indicated that students showed ability in applying art principles. Students also gave evidence of some skill in selecting articles of beauty and good design after study. S ip n further ted that practice was necessary in order to develop skills in using and applying art principles. This study brought out the fact that the students had more ability in recognizing statements about color, rhythm and texture than statements about balance.

Daude (6) explained that finger painting, a form of art, provided the child with an ability to express through art feelings that could not be spoken. This study further indicated that certain personality traits were developed.

Art cannot be measured statistically, according to True (30). Art is completely intangible and is never in the same state of existance as it was the minute before. But Graves (15) emphasized that a sense of design can be appraised, evaluated and tabulated by means of a test. A test developed by Graves was used in this study.

Brockman (3) stated that unity of design is important and that good taste is the instinctive recognition of "what goes with what"; the ability to discern with the eye new combinations. Some individuals are more sensitive to good combinations than others. Persons are helped to establish confidence through practice in selecting desirable combinations using design principles.

Authorities generally agreed that art was an important part of home economics. Art, as explained by Barton (1), motiva c curriculum, stimulates the child's exper of crea and helps improve the manner in which the pupil expresses feelings. By integrating creative art experiences into the homemaking plans, curriculum can be made more meaningful. Barton also pointed out that creativity through art activities may guide the child into making visual aid devices.

Goldstein (13) expressed the importance of art in home economics by pointing out that art is a part of the daily living. Art can help an individual to do more beautifully the simple, homely things of life as well as the unusual. Goldstein suggested that art can become a part of living and personality; individuals can learn to enjoy art in everything seen or selected.

True (30) contended that students should be artistically educated for their own enjoyment and for providing an environment suitable for family living. Such training is really needed today. The importance of art is further ascertained by True who stated that the arts play a major part in man's environment, house, and community. The arts, from this point of view, include not only the house but also clothing.

The study by Forst (12) on design decisions of women in later life revealed important group differences according to various types of training in college. Forst further

observedie given to these gswhen planning des gn coiifferent fields of studies.For art majors, socials well as self-expressionand esthetic value shostressed. Home economicsshould stress creativity emping function and practicaldesign.Art design should be emphasized to help prepare forlife after college graduation even for women without train-ing in home economics or art.

Kinsey's (17) study revealed that teaching units which include application of facts to life situations will in part help students use knowledge of color, design, and fabric in wardrobe selection. In the Kinsey study, great importance was given to identifying color due to the fact that students understood the meaning of the term hue.

Stryker (29) pointed out that through the study of art the individual gains a great deal of knowledge and understanding. Through experiences with art, the student also learns to recognize and appreciate art in his surroundings.

Haynie (16) recommended that every student have knowledge and principles in order to have a secure feeling in new art situations. Haynie further pointed out that principles of arrangement are used in all forms of art.

True (30) pointed out that one of the roles of art in home economics is for professional application. Students

should be given train g ast one art area so as to be able to use art i tions or to develop it as a profession. Decoration e is one of the homemaking professions which uses art, an area including all sorts of designing: furniture design, and other work connected with interiors.

In summarizing the 1962 conference on art in home economics, O'Donnell (25) stated that those present at the conference were in agreement on the following four points:

- 1) Art has a proper place in home economics because home economics is partly art.
- 2) Art in home economics is a creative activity that will enrich an individual's life.
- Art in home economics makes it possible for a student to create a product.
- The aim of art in home economics is to develop art in creative living rather than to develop the fine arts.

Riley (26) implied that the teacher's job is to stimulate imagination and awareness as essentials to successful living. An appreciation of beauty wherever it is found should also be developed.

Some conclusions that may be drawn from the studies reviewed concerning art in home economics are as follows:

 Art should play a part in an individual's everyday life.

2			will help pro- ta le for family living.
		ig d	tatistically, but a be appraised, evaluated, s of tests.
4)	Ar istic a ordination.		lves good eye-hand co-
5)	Students s		ned in at least one

5) St art area so as e able to use it in homemaking.

2

Fine arts is not he aim of art in homemaking courses but creativity in art is needed for everyday living. 6)

An inve iga o as related to home economics was conducted by the o collecting data from 50 Homemaking II and Homemakin I students in high school. Students in Nocona High School, Nocona, Texas, Saint Jo High School, Saint Jo, Texas, and Prairie Valley High School, Route 3, Nocona, Texas, participated in the study during the school year 1967-1968. The students' mean age was 16.33, with a range from 15 through 18 years of age.

U

Е

INSTRUMENTS

Three specific instruments of measurement were used to determine art as related to home economics. The instruments were the <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Form CH</u>, <u>Flanagan Aptitude</u> <u>Classification Tests</u>, and Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u>.

The Kuder Preference Record, Form CH

The <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, Form <u>CH</u> consists of 504 items arranged under 10 broad headings. The 10 broad headings are: putdoor, mechanical, computational, scientific, persuasive, artistic, literary, musical, social service, and

cler of occupations in the re Manual (18), the following in re luded: artistic, outdoor, mechanical, and so Since these four interests . are involved in hom aki ning, those were the interests checked on the Kuder Test given to Home making II and Homemaking III students to determine artistic interest.

Definitions of specific interest tests used in this instrument are as follows:

- Artistic interest means a person likes to do creative work involving the use of the hands. Creative work usually is work that has "eye appeal." This type of work involves design, color, and materials. Painters, sculptors, architects, dress designers, hair dressers, and interior decorators all do "artistic" work.
- Outdoor interest means that an individual prefers work that is outside most of the time. According to the <u>Kuder Preference Record</u> <u>Administrator's Manual</u> (18) the outsideartistic includes such professions as landscape architect and floral designer.
- 3) Mechanical interest means a person likes to work with machines and tools of all sorts. Mechanical-artistic professions are such professions as artist, sculptor, teacher of art, teacher of home economics, designer such as industrial designer, toy designer, dressmaker, tailor, and upholsterer.
- 4) Social service interest indicates a person desires to help people. These professions are home demonstration agent, home economics expert and a miscellaneous teacher: knitting or painting instructor.

e

test. The scoring wa a holes punched on the an on each interest test. corded on the individua , was a s -scoring student counting ich indicated the preferences nches, raw scores, were re-'s profile sheet.

Test contains 19

<u>The Flanagan Aptitude</u>

The Flanagan

Tests

skills for measuring an individual's natural aptitude. These 19 skills are essential in order to be a success in 37 occupational areas. The skills evaluated by the Flanagan Aptitude Classification Test are: 1) inspection; 2) mechanics; 3) tables: 4) reasoning; 5) vocabulary; 6) assembly; 7) judgment and comprehension; 8) components; 9) planning; 10) arithmetic; 11) ingenuity; 12) scales; 13) expression; 14) precision; 15) alertness; 16) coordination; 17) patterns; 18) coding; and 19) memory.

According to the Students' Booklet on the <u>Flanagan Apti-</u> <u>tude Classification Tests</u> (11), to have aptitude in art, a person would need to do well in 1) inspection, 2) vocabulary, 3) assembly, 4) ingenuity, 5) coordination, 6) patterns, and 7) memory.

The author of this study had observed that students who did well in homemaking often did well in 1) mechanics, 2) precision, and 3) components (other tests included in the 19 <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u>). These three tests

were add es s art aptitude in ome a ng III.

de tests are as

follows:

Defin

- Inspec i ity to spot flaws or imperfec n in es quickly and accuratel
- Vocabulary ability to select the right word in
 ey an idea.
- 3) Assembly is ity to "see" how an object looks when put to ther according to instructions. The person can see the appearance of an object from many different parts.
- 4) Ingenuity is the creative skill a person possesses.
- 5) Coordination is the ability to use the hands and arms.
- 6) Patterns is the ability to reproduce simple pattern outlines in an accurate way. Part of the test required the ability to draw a pattern as it should look upside down.
- Memory is the ability to remember codes and the ability to memorize that which is printed.
- Mechanics is the ability to understand mechanical principles and to analyze mechanical movements.
- 9) Precision is the speed and accuracy in using one hand or both hands working together with small objects.
- Components is the ability to select important parts of a whole.

The <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u> were machine graded. The indivi est scores were reported by per-centiles.

Graves' De

Gra) ins 90 ses of
two- and thr ns	be appraised by individ-
uals. The test meas	r appreciation or pro-
duction of art or rea	arning in the field of
visual art. This tes	ministered and time was not
limited. Most indiv	s d the test in 20 to 30
minutes.	

Raw scores on e esign Judgment Test were obtained from the nu r i which were marked correctly according to the hand scoring key. The maximum score on the test was 90. Raw scores were used for comparison of the test and retest. The percentile equivalents of the scores were used in ranking of the natural aptitude percentiles of the Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests.

COLLECTION OF DATA

The scores on the Kuder Preference Record, Form CH and Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests were obtained from the cumulative files in the counselor's office in the three schools. These two tests were administered by one individual, the counselor for the three schools.

In February, the Graves' Design Judgment Test was given to the students enrolle in Homemaking II and III in the

Nocona i Prair Valley High Sc class periods by the homemaking tea e Is. Before the students chec <u>Test</u>, explanations were ma time limit and the results of the scores would grades for homemaking courses.

In May, a re <u>Record, Form CH</u> and the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u>. The retests were given in each of the three schools by the respective teachers.

Raw scores were used from the <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Form CH</u> and Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> for statistical analysis. The percentile score was used for analysis of the <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u>.

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Test and retest scores for each test, outdoor, artistic, mechanical, and social service, were compared on the <u>Kuder</u> <u>Preference Record, Form CH</u>.

- Comparison of the test and retest for outdoor interest.
- 2) Comparison the test and retest for artistic intere t.

3) of 4) retest of the a se

Each test was compa y by the use of the ttest and tabulated.

The data collec n <u>Aptitude</u> Classifina cation Tests were in rcentiles. The top 12 and the lower 12 ed and compared, and the tests were ranked with udents' Graves' Design Judgment Test percentil omparison was summarized by the use of a simplified e. The scores above fiftieth percentile were give a plus (+); and scores below fiftieth percentile were given a minus (-). Data were tabulated for analysis. The rank percentiles on the <u>Flanagan</u> Aptitude Classification Tests were compared with the rank percentiles of the top 12 and the lower 12 students' scores on the Graves' Design Judgment Test.

The raw scores of the pre-test and retest of the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> were compared by the use of a t-test and tabulated. Polygons were also used to further show the comparison of the pre-test and retest.

, <u>A N D</u>

This study d the relationship between interest and a art as it is related to home economics. The students te sts, natural aptitude and art aptitude were measured on standardized forms of the <u>Kuder</u> <u>Preference Record</u>, Form CH (18), Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests (9), and Graves' Design Judgment Test (11).

Respondents were enrolled in two different levels of high school home economics, Homemaking II and Homemaking III. For the purpose of analysis scores were grouped together as one group of home economics students. The discussion of this study will summarize the findings obtained from scores of the three instruments and comparisons of various scores.

KUDER PREFERENCE RECORD, FORM CH

To provide a means of achieving the first purpose, that of determining interest differences after a year's work in home economics, data from the <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Form</u> <u>CH</u> were used in determini the difference in interest as it relates to art.

gs systematically an eferences. The test rev a e s s an indication of suitable v

Pup scorinterest areas of theKuder Preferencewere calculated by the useof a t-test. T findf the t-test were tabulated andare shown in Table I.

Table I reveals that the pupils' interests--outdoor, mechanical, artistic, and social service--were non significant at the .05 level. Analysis of differences between the test and retest indicated that the interests of the students did not change significantly after a semester's study in homemaking.

FLANAGAN APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TESTS

Data from the <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u> were used as a basis for achieving the second purpose, that of comparing various scores of high natural ability individuals and various scores of low natural ability individuals. Nineteen areas for measurement of natural aptitude are included in this test and percentiles from 10 of the areas were used from the tests: 1) inspection, 2) vocabulary, 3) assembly, 4) ingenuity, 5) coordination, 6) patterns, 7) memory, 8) mec ani s, 9 recision, and 10) components.

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF RAW SCORES OF 50 HOMEMAKING STUDENTS ON

KUDER PREFERENCE RECORD, FORM CH TEST

AND RETEST

	T st Com	r:	st c		e o can
Outdoor	Test and on	r test st			
Mechanical	Test and on ical	retest In	138		
Artistic	Test and on	retest t	-0.179	98	n.s.
Social Service	Test and on al	retest ce I	0.762	98	n.s

n.s. non-significant

wer for compa a comparison of the two ou g highest above the fiftiet per ts ranking the lowest below the f

F

cated that	n		the fiftieth percentile
on the l	1		of the <u>Flanagan</u> <u>Aptitude</u>
<u>Classification</u>			high aptitude for natural
artistic abil	in	'n	sts, inspection, vocabu-
lary, assemb	in		ination, patterns, memory,
mechanics and o	compo		number, of students in
this group ranked a			the fiftieth percentile of
precision.			

f students below the fiftieth In Figure aptitude tests of the Flanagan percentile on ts are shown. These lower ranking Aptitude Cla students showed a very low aptitude for natural artistic ability. Students below the fiftieth percentile ranked low in seven of the 10 tests; inspection, assembly, ingenuity, patterns, mechanics, precision, and components. The same this group ranked above and below the number of students ocab lary and memory. Eleven of these fiftieth percenti e ion, indicating good use of students ranked h o co

2

arisons in Figure I indi-

12							
11							
10							
9							
8							
7							
6							
5							
4							
3							
2							
1							
1			5 s	6 a	7	9	
Above 50th	centile						
Below 50th	centile						
		=	igure	1			
Percent	age of 12	Top Ra	nking	Studer	nts wit	h Percentil	е
Ranks	Above or	Below	the Fi	ftieth	Perce	ntile Rank	
	in 10 Arc	eas on	the <u>Fl</u>	anagar	Aptit	ude	
		ass	tio	n <u>Test</u>	Ś		

1

1

11

1

7

0

Above 50th centile 💥 Below 50th centile 🎆

Figure 2

Percentage of 12 Low Ranking Students with Percentile Ranks Above or Below the Fiftieth Percentile Rank in 1 Areas on the <u>Flanagan</u> <u>Aptitude</u> la ficati n <u>Tests</u> hands and th these dents ranking bel could do well artistically wh n an olved.

T a a top 12 students on the <u>Flanagan Aptitude</u> C <u>Tests</u> were observed by ranking with the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> percentiles. The findings indicated that these students ranking high on <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u> also ranked high on Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u>. The findings further indicated that high natural aptitude was important in students' artistic ability (Tables II, IV, VI, VIII, X, XII, XIV, XVI, XVIII, and XX).

Students below the fiftieth percentile on the <u>Flanagan</u> <u>Aptitude Classification Tests</u> were also ranked and observed with the students below the fiftieth percentile on the Graves <u>Design Judgment Test</u>. The findings on the ranks of these two tests after observation indicated that these students were low in all but one of the natural aptitude tests revealing low aptitude for artistic ability (Tables III, V, VII, IX, XI, XIII, XV, XVII, XIX, and XXI).

GRAVES' DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST

The third purpose, that of determining the difference in artistic aptitude of students after a semester's work in home economics, was a ieved through data from the Graves'

COMPARI ON THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGH ON TESTS, A C S FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u>

DGM

	Flana	an		
Student ber	Classi	Ca	n Tests	Design Judgment Test
1				+
2				+
14				+
26				+
27				+
32				+
7		+		-
8		4		+
48		-		-
42		+		+
9		+		-
3		-		+

Area I--Inspection Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centi e

COMPARIS OF E KS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

LOWEST ON AGA <u>CLASSIFICATION</u> <u>TESTS</u>,

AREA INWITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

JUDGMENT TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area I	Design Judgment Test
15	+	- - +,
19	-,	
43	-	
18	. =.	а с <mark>†</mark> у
45	-	+
47		- -
20	~	-
1.7	-	+
40	-	÷
35	-	-
23	-	- + [
22	-	1 <u>-</u> 4

Area I--I ection Aptitude + = Above cen il - = Below 5 c

СОМРА

KS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

HIGH

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA II ITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

ERC

JUDGMENT TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area II	Design Judgment Test
1	" +	+
2	÷	+
1:4	+	+
26	+	, + ,
27	+	+
32	÷	+
7	ť	-
8	+	+
48	+	-
42	+	+
9	+	-
3	+	+

Area II--Vocabulary Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

T <u>TESTS</u>,

KS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

TEST

Student Num	Flanagan A Classific	tude	Design Judgment Test
15	† :		+
1.9	1 1		- - -
43	+;		+
18	-		·+,
45	-		·+
47	+		-
20	+		-
17	-		+
40	-		-
35	-		-
23	+		ł
22	-		-

Area II--Vocabulary titude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th tile

СОМРА

L

COMPARISON	ERCENTIL	OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING
HIGHEST		CLASSIFICATION TESTS,
AREA III	E	ANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u>
		TEST

I

Student	Clas	an Aptitude cation Tests III	Design Judgment
1			+
2			+
14		+;	+
26		-	+
27		+,	+
32		_ '	+
7		+	-
8		+	+
48		-	-
42		÷	+
9		+,	-
3		+	+

Area III--Assembly Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARISON OF P TILE RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

LOWEST ON FLANAGAN APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA III WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area III	Design Judgment Test
1.5	-	+
19	- -	-
43	+	+
18	- -	+
45	-	+
47	-	-
20	+	-
17	-	+
40	-	-
35	<u>i</u>	-
23	+	+
22	-	-

JUDGMENT TEST

Area III--Assemply Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARISO

KS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

HIGHEST ON

SI ION TESTS,

AREA IV WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area IV	Design Judgment Test
1	÷	+
2	·+	+
14	+	+
2,6	+	т
27	+	+
32	+	+
7	÷	-
8	+	+
48	+	-
42	+	+
9	-	-
3	÷	+

JUDGMENT TEST

Area IV--Ingenuity Aptitude + = Above 50th centile

- = Below 50th centile

COMPARISON PERCENTI OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON FLANAGAN APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA IV WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area IV	Design Judgment Test
15	+	+
1.9	-	-
43	- -	+
18	- -	+
45	+	+
47	-	-
20	+	-
17	•	+
40	-	-
3 5	-	-
23		÷
22	-	-

JUDGMENT TEST

Area IV--Ingenuity Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARISON

OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

D CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

KS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area V	Design Judgment Test
1	+	+
2	+	+
14	:+	+
26	, +	+
27	+	+
32	+	+
7	+	-
8	+	+
48	+	-
42	+	+
9	+	-
3	+	+

Area V--Coordination Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

HIGHES

AREA V

Р

COMP	F THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING
LOW	CLASSIFICATION TESTS,
AREA	KS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u>
	TEST

tudent	Flan Classi	an i	e Tests	Design Judgment
15				+
19				-
43				+
18		, †		+
45		+		+
47		+		-
20		÷		-
177.		+		+
40		-		-
35		-		-
23		+		+
22		.+		-

Area V--Coordination Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMP I PERCE HIGHEST ON AG AREA VI

COMP I PERCE KS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

KS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

TEST

Student Number	Fla an Classi Are	de n	Design Judgment t
1	÷		+
2	·+		+
14	+		+
26	+		+.
27	-		+
32	+		+
7	+		-
8	-		+
48	+		-
42	-		+
9	-		-
3	+		+

Area VI--Patterns Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile ΙI

COMPARISON O P

S OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

LOWEST ON

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA VI WITH PERCENT KS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u>

JUDGMENT TEST

 Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area VI	Design Judgment Test
15	-	+
19	+:	-
43	-	+
18	+ .	+
45	-	+
47	-	-
20	-	-
17	+	+
40	-	-
35	+	-
23	-	+
22	-	-

Area VI--Patterns Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARISON O P RC		OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING
HIGHEST ON <u>FLANAGAN</u>		CLASSIFICATION TESTS,
AREA VII WITH P		RANKS FOR GRAVES <u>DESIGN</u>
U	М	TEST

Student	Flanagan Ap Classificati	Tests	Design	Judgment
1				+
2	+			+
14	+			+
26	+			+
27	+			+
32	. + ,			+
7	-			-
8	+,			+
48	+			-
42	+			+
9	+			-
3	+			+

Area VII--Memory Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

V

COMPARISON OF P

KS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

LOWEST ON F

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA VII WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

JUDGMENT TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area VII	Design Judgment Test
15	+	+
19	+	-
43	°=,	+
18	-	+
45	+	+
47	-	-
20	-	-
17	- -	+
40	-	-
35	-	-
23	` ,	+
22	÷.	-

Area VII--Memory Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMP OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING HIGH <u>CLASSIFICATION TESTS</u>, A A III WITH RANKS FOR GRAVES

UDGME <u>TEST</u>

	Flanagan	tude	
Student Number	Clas fica	o Tests	Design Judgment
1			+
2			+
14	-		+
26	+		+
27	-		+
3,2	.+		+ .
7	-		-
8	+		+
48	+		-
42	-		+
9			-
3	+		+

Area VIII--Mechanics Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

E CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

E RANKS FOR GRAVES

TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area VIII	Design Judgment Test
15	+	+
19	+	-
43	.	+
18	-	+
45	+	+
4,7	-	-
20	-	-
17	-	+
40	-	-
35	-	-
23	-	÷
22	-	-
1		

R

۷

Area VIII--Mechanics Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARI E

OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

HIGHEST ON

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

AREA IX: WITH PERC NTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Ares IX	Design Judgment Test
1	-	+
2	-	+
14	-	+
26	÷	+
27	+	+
32	+	+
7	+	-
8	-	+
48	+	-
42	-	+
9	. +	-
3	-	+

JUDGMENT TEST

Area IX--Precision Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

PR

OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

0 APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

WI TILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

JUDGMENT TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area IX	Design Judgment Test
15	_	+
19	-	-
43	-	+
18	+	+
4.5	-	+
4.7	+.	-
20	+	· .
17	-	+
40	-	-
5,5	-	-
23	-	+
22	+,	-
1 1		

Area IX--Precision Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

ХΧ

COM R F R RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING

0

CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

JUDGMENT TEST

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area X	Design Judgment Test
1	+	+
2	+	+
14	+	+
26	+	+
27	- T	+
32	+	+
7	+	-
8	+	+
48	÷	-
42	+	+
9	+	-
3	+	+

Area X--Components Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile

COMPARISON OF PERCENTI E RANKS OF THE 12 STUDENTS RANKING LOWEST ON FLANAGAN APTITUDE CLASSIFICATION TESTS,

I

AREA: X WITH PERCENTILE RANKS FOR GRAVES DESIGN

Student Number	Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests Area X	Design Judgment Test
15	-	+
19	-	-
43		+
18	-	+
45	-	+
47	+	-
20	-	-
1.7	+	+
40	-	-
35	+	-
23	-	+
22	-	-

JUDGMENT TEST

Area X--Components Aptitude + = Above 50th centile - = Below 50th centile Des ta two and t dimensi s s of student's aptitude for appreciati a and readiness for learning in 1

t-tes w t thod for calculating the data on this test. s thod permitted a comparison of the differences in e students' scores on the pre-test and retest after having home economics.

The artistic ability according to the findings of the pre-test and retest on the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> indicated that artistic aptitude was significant at .05 and .01 levels with the retest score being significantly higher (Table XXII). This finding indicated that art ability could be improved after training.

According to the results of the statistical analysis of the pre-test and retest scores on the Graves' <u>Design Judg-</u> <u>ment Test</u>, the ability of students to judge and appreciate art improved after a semester of homemaking. This improvement after study showed that students had more ability in judging through knowledge of design principles.

Figure 3 further presents a picture which substantiates the statistical findings of the t-test. The shaded area of the pre-test polygon shows that students had more low scores

TABLE XXII

COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' RAW SCORES ON PRE-TEST

RETEST ON GRAVES DESIGN JUDGMENT TEST

Factor	a	t-test S r		е
Design	Pre-test	E 2 E 6	0.9	.0
Test	retest	-5.250	30	.01



Judgment Test for 50 Homemaking Students

on a a very sm number of high ore ork in home economics. The heavy l polygon shows that there was an g a decrease of low scores after a ' nomics.

The resu of ana ses indicated that this sample of students' intere was no changed. The findings revealed that home economics training apparently affected the student's art aptitude in a positive direction.

CASE STUDIES

Two outstanding individual cases were noticed in this study. Case I showed a change from an artistic interest to clerical interest on the <u>Kuder Preference Record Test</u>. This change was probably due to being a typing winner. The artistic scores were high on the pre-test and retest on the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u>. If the <u>Kuder Preference Record Test</u> had been given as a retest, the artistic interest probably would have returned to a high score after the student placed fourth in a nation wide table setting contest.

Case II had a score below the fiftieth percentile on the Graves" <u>Design Judgment Test</u> pre-test, but the artistic ability of the student increased to a high score above the fiftieth percentile. This student found that artistic

ability e rs which involved design principles. A r of fabric flower arrangements were made by this student before the retest was given.

The overall picture revealed that a high natural ability is important for success in artistic endeavors. The tests on the <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification</u> <u>Tests</u> which indicated a pronounced influence on artistic aptitude were first, vocabulary and coordination, and second, ingenuity and memory. The rpose t s dy was to determine relationships between interest an natural aptitudes in various capacities of art as related to homemaking in high school nome economics programs. Data were obtained from 50 students enrolled in Homemaking II and III in Nocona High School, Nocona, Texas, Saint Jo High School, Saint Jo, Texas, and Prairie Valley High School, Route 3, Nocona, Texas during the academic year of 1967-1968. The students' interest, natural aptitude and art aptitude were measured on standardized test forms of <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Form CH</u> (18), <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u> (9), and Graves' Design Judgment Test (11).

Data from the <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Form CH</u> (18) test were used as a basis for determining students' interest preferences with related art areas. A test and a retest was used to determine if a change in students' interest was made after a year's work in homemaking. An analysis of the differences between the test and retest was made. This comparison revealed that interest was not changed after a year's work o economics.

С

IV

a <u>Tests</u> (9) s ng the natural artistic abil den ed to art in home economics. The le sc were collected from the files of the counselo The 12 highest and the 12 lowest scores were ranked and compared. Scores for the <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classi-</u> <u>fication Tests</u> were also ranked with percentile scores of the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u>.

The findings indicated that high natural ability was needed to succeed in artistic ability; however, artistic ability of students with low natural ability indicated a noticeable, non-significant increase. Results showed that four natural aptitude tests, vocabulary, coordination, ingenuity and memory, on the <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification</u> Tests showed a pronouced influence upon artistic ability.

Data from the Graves' <u>Design Judgment Test</u> (11) were used to determine the artistic differences of the students after a year's work in home economics. The differences were treated to a t-test for significance. Statistical results indicated that this sample of students improved in artistic aptitude after a semester in home economics.

This study had a small number of girls in the sample; therefore; results give only an indication of the actual relationship between art and areas related to home economics.

The t gn princip u of a b ves' <u>Design Judgment</u> would probably a cture of how art relates to home economics.

In view of findings in this study, the

author makes the following recommendations:

- Further study needs to be made in the field of art as it relates to home economics including a larger sample.
- Art principles should be integrated into all phases of home economics.
- The teachers of home economics should provide the climate for development of art in home economics.
- 4) An art awareness and judgment should be developed in home economics class study.
- 5) Home economics majors should have a special, technical required art course directed toward creativity in all areas of homemaking.
- 6) Studies are recommended in various phases of home economics, clothing, child care, foods, home care of the sick, family living, consumer education and housing, as they relate to art.
- Art creativity should be developed in the curriculum of home economics.

The author concluded from results of all statistical analyses that for this sample of students, interest apparently was not changed after a year's work in home economics. Results of this study revealed that high natural ability was nee r ties-- u indicated a relationshi in home economics ind economics.

Four tura a il enuity, and memory-c ability. Art abilities of the students, howeve influenced by a year's study t art is related to home

- 1. ton, f Creative Art in t Socia dies ." Unpublished Master's thesis, Texas Woman iversity, 1954.
- Bonsett, Virginia Lou. Appreciation as Related to Attitude and Creative Activity." Unpublished Master's thesis, Purdue University, 1966.
- 3. Brockman, Helen L. <u>The Theory of Fashion Design</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1965.
- Bumpass, Nancy. "A Comparison of Art Activity With Normal and With Retarded Intelligence." Unpublished Master's thesis, Texas Woman's University, 1966.
- 5. California Test Bureau. <u>A Glossary of Measurement Terms</u>. Monterey, California. Del Monte Research Park.
- Daude, Sylvia. "Pre-School Finger Painting Experiences and Development of Social Maturity and Personality Traits." Unpublished Master's thesis, Texas Woman's University, 1965.
- 7. Donnelen, David Van. "Integrating Art in Home Economics," Forecast, Vol. 13, No. 6 (February, 1968).
- Eilar, Frances. "Fitting Art Into Home Economics," <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 46, No. 6 (June, 1954).
- 9. Flanagan, John C. <u>Flanagan Aptitude</u> <u>Classification Tests</u>, <u>Administrator's Manual</u>. Chicago: Science Reseach Associates, 1958.
- 10. Flanagan, John C. <u>Flanagan Aptitude Classification Tests</u>, <u>Examiner's Manual</u>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, Inc., 1958.
- 11. Flanagan, John C. <u>Flanagan Aptitude</u> <u>Classification</u> <u>Tests</u>, <u>Student's Booklet</u>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, Inc., 1958.

ors	n
	ion i

- 13. Goldstein, Har <u>day Life</u>. New
- Goldstein. <u>Art in Every-</u> he Macmillan Company, 1966.

Home

ch

Con

onomi

r

14. Graves, Maitla d. <u>Color and Design</u>. New York: McGraw-Hi l' ompany, Inc., 1941.

r

•

- 15. Graves, Maitlan <u>Design Judgment Test</u>. New York: The Psychol ical Corporation, 1948.
- Haynie, Mattye Mae. "Growth Through Art Education." Unpublished paper, Texas Woman's University, 1951.
- 17. Kinsey, Helen Nerine. "Personal Application of Color, Design and Fabrics by Homemaking II Students." Unpublished Master's thesis, Texas Woman's University, 1965.
- 18. Kuder, G. Frederic. <u>Kuder Preference Record</u>, <u>Vocational</u>, <u>Form CH</u>. Chicago: 1960 Administrator's Manual.
- 19. Lerwerenz, Alfred S. <u>Test in Fundamental Abilities of</u> <u>Visual Art, Manual of Direction</u>. Monterey, California: California Test Bureau, Del Monte Research Park.
- 20. Lowenfeld, Viktor and W. Lambert Brittain. <u>Creative and</u> <u>Mental Growth</u>. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1965.
- 21. Meier, Norman Charles. <u>Examiner's Manual, I. Art Judg-</u> <u>ment</u>. Iowa City: State University, 1942.
- 22. Nygren, Gertrude. "Art in Home Economics, Opportunities and Services," <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 54, No. 10 (December, 1962).
- 23. Obst, Frences M. <u>Art and Design in Home Living</u>. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1963.
- 24. Obst, Frances M. "The Importance of Art in Home Economics--A Philosophy," <u>Journal of Home Economics</u> Vol. 51, No. 10 (September, 1959).
- 25. O'Donnell, Dorothy C. "Art in Home Economics, Conference Summary," <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 54, No. 10 (December, 1962).

e			orce	n Home Economics,"
				Vol. 52, No. 10
	m	 4 •		

- 27. a , h Ann. "Learning Art Principles Through Problem Solving in a Home Economics I Class." Unpublished Master's thesis, Kansas State University, Junr, 1965.
- 28. Sloan, Ann E. "Proportion as It Relates to Interior Design." Unpublished Master's thesis, University of North Carolina, June, 1967.
- 29. Stryker, Winnifred Katharine. "The Importance of Teaching Art in Public Schools." Unpublished Master's thesis, Texas Woman's University. 1954.
- 30. True, Virginia. "Art in Home Economics, Philosophy and Role," <u>Journal of Home Economics</u>, Vol. 54, No. 10 (December, 1962).